# Generalizations of single-peakedness 

Jimmy Devillet<br>University of Luxembourg<br>Luxembourg

January 31, 2019

## Part I: Single-peaked orderings

## Single-peaked orderings

Motivating example (Romero, 1978)
Suppose you are asked to order the following six objects in decreasing preference:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1}: & 0 \text { sandwich } \\
a_{2}: & 1 \text { sandwich } \\
a_{3}: & 2 \text { sandwiches } \\
a_{4}: & 3 \text { sandwiches } \\
a_{5}: & 4 \text { sandwiches } \\
a_{6}: & \text { more than } 4 \text { sandwiches }
\end{array}
$$

We write $a_{i} \prec a_{j}$ if $a_{i}$ is preferred to $a_{j}$

## Single-peaked orderings

$a_{1}$ : 0 sandwich<br>$a_{2}$ : 1 sandwich<br>$a_{3}$ : 2 sandwiches<br>$a_{4}$ : 3 sandwiches<br>$a_{5}$ : 4 sandwiches<br>$a_{6}$ : more than 4 sandwiches

- after a good lunch: $a_{1} \prec a_{2} \prec a_{3} \prec a_{4} \prec a_{5} \prec a_{6}$
- if you are starving: $a_{6} \prec a_{5} \prec a_{4} \prec a_{3} \prec a_{2} \prec a_{1}$
- a possible intermediate situation: $a_{4} \prec a_{3} \prec a_{5} \prec a_{2} \prec a_{1} \prec a_{6}$
- a quite unlikely preference: $a_{6} \prec a_{5} \prec a_{2} \prec a_{1} \prec a_{3} \prec a_{4}$


## Single-peaked orderings

Let us represent graphically the latter two preferences with respect to the reference ordering $a_{1}<a_{2}<a_{3}<a_{4}<a_{5}<a_{6}$

$$
a_{4} \prec a_{3} \prec a_{5} \prec a_{2} \prec a_{1} \prec a_{6}
$$

$$
a_{6} \prec a_{5} \prec a_{2} \prec a_{1} \prec a_{3} \prec a_{4}
$$




## Single-peaked orderings

Definition. (Black, 1948)
Let $\leq$ and $\preceq$ be total orderings on $X_{n}=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$.
Then $\preceq$ is said to be single-peaked for $\leq$ if the following patterns are forbidden



Mathematically:

$$
a_{i}<a_{j}<a_{k} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad a_{j} \prec a_{i} \quad \text { or } \quad a_{j} \prec a_{k}
$$

## Single-peaked orderings

$$
a_{i}<a_{j}<a_{k} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad a_{j} \prec a_{i} \quad \text { or } \quad a_{j} \prec a_{k}
$$

Let us assume that $X_{n}=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ is endowed with the ordering $a_{1}<\cdots<a_{n}$

For $n=4$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} \prec a_{2} \prec a_{3} \prec a_{4} & a_{4} \prec a_{3} \prec a_{2} \prec a_{1} \\
a_{2} \prec a_{1} \prec a_{3} \prec a_{4} & a_{3} \prec a_{2} \prec a_{1} \prec a_{4} \\
a_{2} \prec a_{3} \prec a_{1} \prec a_{4} & a_{3} \prec a_{2} \prec a_{4} \prec a_{1} \\
a_{2} \prec a_{3} \prec a_{4} \prec a_{1} & \\
a_{3} \prec a_{4} \prec a_{2} \prec a_{1}
\end{array}
$$

There are $2^{n-1}$ total orderings $\preceq$ on $X_{n}$ that are single-peaked for $\leq$

## Single-peaked orderings

Recall that a weak ordering (or total preordering) on $X_{n}$ is a binary relation $\precsim$ on $X_{n}$ that is total and transitive.

Defining a weak ordering on $X_{n}$ amounts to defining an ordered partition of $X_{n}$

$$
C_{1} \prec \cdots \prec C_{k}
$$

where $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{k}$ are the equivalence classes defined by $\sim$
For $n=3$, we have 13 weak orderings

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} \prec a_{2} \prec a_{3} & a_{1} \sim a_{2} \prec a_{3} \\
a_{1} \prec a_{3} \prec a_{2} & a_{1} \prec a_{2} \sim a_{3} \sim a_{2} \sim a_{3} \\
a_{2} \prec a_{1} \prec a_{3} & a_{2} \prec a_{1} \sim a_{3} \\
a_{2} \prec a_{3} \prec a_{1} & a_{3} \prec a_{1} \sim a_{2} \\
a_{3} \prec a_{1} \prec a_{2} & a_{1} \sim a_{3} \prec a_{2} \\
a_{3} \prec a_{2} \prec a_{1} & a_{2} \sim a_{3} \prec a_{1}
\end{array}
$$

## Single-peaked orderings

Definition. (Black, 1948)
Let $\leq$ be a total ordering on $X_{n}$ and let $\precsim$ be a weak ordering on $X_{n}$. Then $\precsim$ is said to be single-plateaued for $\leq$ if the following patterns are forbidden






## Single-peaked orderings

Mathematically:

$$
a_{i}<a_{j}<a_{k} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad a_{j} \prec a_{i} \quad \text { or } \quad a_{j} \prec a_{k} \quad \text { or } \quad a_{i} \sim a_{j} \sim a_{k}
$$

## Examples

$$
a_{3} \sim a_{4} \prec a_{2} \prec a_{1} \sim a_{5} \prec a_{6} \quad a_{3} \sim a_{4} \prec a_{2} \sim a_{1} \prec a_{5} \prec a_{6}
$$




Part II: Quasitrivial and idempotent semigroups

## Quasitriviality

## Definition

$F: X_{n}^{2} \rightarrow X_{n}$ is said to be

- quasitrivial (or conservative) if

$$
F(x, y) \in\{x, y\} \quad\left(x, y \in X_{n}\right)
$$

- idempotent if

$$
F(x, x)=x \quad\left(x \in X_{n}\right)
$$

Fact. If $F$ is quasitrivial, then it is idempotent

## Associative and quasitrivial operations

## Definition.

The projection operations $\pi_{1}: X_{n}^{2} \rightarrow X_{n}$ and $\pi_{2}: X_{n}^{2} \rightarrow X_{n}$ are respectively defined by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\pi_{1}(x, y)=x, & x, y \in X_{n} \\
\pi_{2}(x, y)=y, & x, y \in X_{n}
\end{array}
$$

## Associative and quasitrivial operations

Assume that $X_{n}=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ is endowed with a weak ordering $\precsim$
Ordinal sum of projections

$$
\operatorname{osp}_{\precsim}: X_{n}^{2} \rightarrow X_{n}
$$



If $\precsim$ is a total ordering, then $\operatorname{osp}_{\precsim}=\curlyvee$

## Associative and quasitrivial operations

Theorem (Länger 1980, Kepka 1981)
Let $F: X_{n}^{2} \rightarrow X_{n}$. The following assertions are equivalent.
(i) $F$ is associative and quasitrivial
(ii) $F=\operatorname{osp}_{\precsim}$ for some weak ordering $\precsim$ on $X_{n}$

## Corollary

Let $F: X_{n}^{2} \rightarrow X_{n}$. The following assertions are equivalent.
(i) $F$ is associative, quasitrivial, and commutative
(ii) $F=\curlyvee$ for some total ordering $\preceq$ on $X_{n}$

## Associative and quasitrivial operations






## Associative, quasitrivial, and order-preserving operations

## Definition.

$F: X_{n}^{2} \rightarrow X_{n}$ is said to be $\leq$-preserving for some total ordering $\leq$ on $X_{n}$ if for any $x, y, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in X_{n}$ such that $x \leq x^{\prime}$ and $y \leq y^{\prime}$, we have $F(x, y) \leq F\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$

## Definition.

A uninorm on ( $X_{n}, \leq$ ) is an operation $F: X_{n}^{2} \rightarrow X_{n}$ that

- has a neutral element $e \in X_{n} \quad\left(\Leftrightarrow F(x, e)=F(e, x)=x \quad \forall x \in X_{n}\right)$ and is
- associative
- commutative
- $\leq-$ preserving


## Associative, quasitrivial, and order-preserving operations

$\leq$ : total ordering on $X_{n}$

## Theorem (Couceiro et al., 2018)

Let $F: X_{n}^{2} \rightarrow X_{n}$. The following assertions are equivalent.
(i) $F$ is associative, quasitrivial, and $\leq-$ preserving
(ii) $F=\operatorname{osp}_{\precsim}$ for some weak ordering $\precsim$ on $X_{n}$ that is single-plateaued for $\leq$

## Theorem (Couceiro et al., 2018)

Let $F: X_{n}^{2} \rightarrow X_{n}$. The following assertions are equivalent.
(i) $F$ is associative, quasitrivial, commutative, and $\leq$-preserving
(ii) $F=\curlyvee$ for some total ordering $\preceq$ on $X_{n}$ that is single-peaked for $\leq$
(iii) $F$ is an idempotent uninorm on $X_{n}$

Associative and quasitrivial operations







## Associative, idempotent, and commutative operations

## Lemma

Let $F: X_{n}^{2} \rightarrow X_{n}$. The following assertions are equivalent.
(i) $F$ is associative, idempotent, and commutative
(ii) $F=\curlyvee$ for some join-semilattice ordering $\preceq$ on $X_{n}$

Example. On $X_{4}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\}$, consider the total ordering $\leq$ and the join-semilattice ordering $\preceq$


## Towards a generalization

$\leq$ : total ordering on $X_{n}$
$\preceq$ : join-semilattice ordering on $X_{n}$

$\curlyvee\left(a_{1}, a_{4}\right)=a_{4}$ and $\curlyvee\left(a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=a_{3} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \curlyvee$ is not $\leq-$ preserving
What are the $\preceq$ for which $\curlyvee$ are $\leq$-preserving?

## Towards a generalization

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \leq b \leq c \quad \Longrightarrow \quad b \preceq a \curlyvee c \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$


$\preceq$ does not satisfy (*)

## Towards a generalization

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \leq b \leq c \quad \Longrightarrow \quad b \preceq a \curlyvee c \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$


$\preceq$ satisfies $(*)$

## Towards a generalization


$\curlyvee\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=a_{3}$ and $\curlyvee\left(a_{2}, a_{2}\right)=a_{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \gamma$ is not $\leq-$ preserving

## Towards a generalization

$$
a<b<c \quad \Longrightarrow \quad(a \neq b \curlyvee c \quad \text { and } \quad c \neq a \curlyvee b) \quad(* *)
$$



〔 satisfies ( $*$ ) but not $(* *)$

## Towards a generalization

$$
a<b<c \quad \Longrightarrow \quad(a \neq b \curlyvee c \quad \text { and } \quad c \neq a \curlyvee b) \quad(* *)
$$


$\preceq$ satisfies ( $*$ ) and ( $* *$ )
Also, $\curlyvee$ is $\leq$-preserving

## Nondecreasingness

Definition. We say that $\preceq$ is nondecreasing for $\leq$ if it satisfies $(*)$ and $(* *)$
$F$ is associative, idempotent, and commutative iff $F=\curlyvee$

## Theorem (Devillet et al., 2018)

For any $F: X^{2} \rightarrow X$, the following are equivalent.
(i) $F$ is associative, idempotent, commutative, and $\leq$-preserving
(ii) $F=\curlyvee$ for some $\preceq$ that is nondecreasing for $\leq$

## Nondecreasingness

$C_{n}$ : $n$th Catalan number
Proposition (Devillet et al., 2018)
$C_{n}$ is

- the number of nondecreasing join-semilattice orders on $X_{n}$
- the number of associative, idempotent, commutative, and s-preserving binary operations on $X_{n}$
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