# Derivations and differential operators on rings and fields

Gergely Kiss

Joint work with Miklós Laczkovich,

University of Luxembourg

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# Basic definition

Let  $R = (R, +, \cdot)$  be a (commutative) ring.

- *R* has characteristic 0, if *n* · *x* ≠ 0 for every *x* ∈ *R* \ {0} and for every positive integer *n*.
- R has characteristic n ∈ N if n is the smallest positive integer such that n · x = 0 for some x ∈ R \ {0}.

A commutative ring R (with unit element  $1 \neq 0$ ) is an integral domain if  $x, y \in R \setminus \{0\}$  implies  $xy \neq 0$  (no zero-divisors other than 0).

In this talk we assume that R is an integral domain.

## Additive function and derivation

- A function  $f: R \rightarrow R$  is called
  - an additive function if

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) \quad \forall x, y \in R.$$

• a derivation if f is additive and satisfies the Leibniz rule, i.e

$$f(xy) = xf(y) + yf(x) \quad \forall x, y \in R$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

# Higher order derivations

Higher order derivation by Unger and Reich [3]: The identically zero map is the only derivation of order 0. An additive function  $f: R \to R$  is a derivation of order at most n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$  if there exists  $B: R \times R \to R$  such that B is a derivation of order n-1 in each of its variables and

$$f(xy) - xf(y) - f(x)y = B(x, y).$$

Let  $\mathcal{D}_n(=\mathcal{D}_n(R))$  denote the set of derivations of order at most n defined on R.

#### Claim

A function  $d : R \to R$  is a derivation if and only if  $d \in \mathcal{D}_1$ . We say that D is a derivation of order n if  $D \in \mathcal{D}_n \setminus \mathcal{D}_{n-1}$ .

## **Differential operators**

We say that the map  $D: R \rightarrow R$  is a differential operator of degree at most n if D is the linear combination (with coefficients from R) of maps of the form

 $d_1 \circ \cdots \circ d_k$ ,

where  $d_1, \ldots, d_k : R \to R$  are derivations and  $0 \le k \le n$ . Note: If k = 0, then we interpret  $d_1 \circ \cdots \circ d_k$  as the identity function on R.

Let  $\mathcal{O}_n(=\mathcal{O}_n(R))$  denote the set of differential operators of order at most *n* defined on *R*.

We say that *D* is a differential operator of order *n* if  $D \in \mathcal{O}_n \setminus \mathcal{O}_{n-1}$ .

# Differential operators on fields

Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$ , where  $t_1, \ldots, t_k$  are algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then K is a field of all rational function of  $t_1, \ldots, t_k$  with rational coefficients.

Claim

- The function  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}: K \to K$  is a derivation (on K) for every  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .
- Every derivation  $d: K \rightarrow K$  can be written as

$$d=\sum_{i=1}^k c_i \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i},$$

for some  $c_i \in K$ .

## Differential operators on fields II.

Let *L* be a field containing algebraically independent elements  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ . A function  $f : L \to L$  of the following form

$$f = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_k \le n} c_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \cdot \frac{\partial^{i_1 + \dots + i_k}}{\partial t_1^{i_1} \cdots \partial t_k^{i_k}}$$
(1)

where the coefficients  $c_{i_1,...,i_k}$  belong to L, is a *differential operator* of degree at most n on the field L. The converse is also true if  $K = \mathbb{Q}(t_1, ..., t_k)$ :

Proposition

 $D: K \rightarrow K$  is a differential operator of degree at most n if and only if D is of the form (1).

# Connection I.

Now we go back to the case when R is an integral domain.

## Claim

Let 
$$d_1, \ldots, d_n \in \mathcal{D}_1(R)$$
. Then  $d_1 \circ \cdots \circ d_n \in \mathcal{D}_n(R)$ .

Clearly, this holds for every linear combination of compositions of length at most n. Thus

### Claim

If  $D \in \mathcal{O}_n$  such that D(1) = 0, then  $D \in \mathcal{D}_n$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{O}_n^0$  the set of differential operators D of degree at most n satisfying D(1) = 0.

# Questions

In the sequel we investigate two basic questions.

## Question

Is there any converse of the previous claim?

```
Let d_1, \ldots, d_n \in D_1(R).
```

## Question

How can we guarantee that  $d_1 \circ \cdots \circ d_n$  is a derivation of order exactly n?

# Discrete topology

Let X and Y be nonempty sets. Then  $Y^X$  denotes the set of all maps  $f: X \to Y$ .

We endow the space Y with the discrete topology, and  $Y^X$  with the product topology. The closure of a set  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq Y^X$  w. r. t. the product topology is denoted by  $cl\mathcal{A}$ .

A function  $f: X \to Y$  belongs to  $cl\mathcal{A}$  if and only if, for every finite set  $F \subseteq X$  there is a function  $g \in \mathcal{A}$  such that f(x) = g(x) for every  $x \in F$ .

# Results I.

#### Theorem

Let R be an integral domain of characteristic zero and let n be a positive integer. Then for every  $D \colon R \to R$ , the following are equivalent.

- 1.  $D \in \mathcal{D}_n(R)$ ,
- 2.  $D \in \operatorname{cl}\mathcal{O}_n^0(R)$ .

## Corollary

Let R be an integral domain of characteristic zero and let n be a positive integer. Then for every  $D \colon R \to R$ , the following are equivalent.

- 1.  $D \in \mathcal{D}_n(R) \setminus \mathcal{D}_{n-1}(R)$ ,
- 2.  $D \in \operatorname{cl}\mathcal{O}_n^0(R) \setminus \operatorname{cl}\mathcal{O}_{n-1}^0(R)$ .

# Easy part $(2 \Rightarrow 1)$

#### Lemma

For every ring R and for every nonnegative integer n, the set  $\mathcal{D}_n$  is closed in  $\mathbb{R}^R$ .

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

#### Lemma

For every ring R we have  $cl\mathcal{O}_n^0 \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$ .

## Generalized polynomial

Let G be an Abelian semigroup, and let H be an Abelian group.

• Difference operator  $\Delta_g$  ( $g \in G$ ):

$$\Delta_g f(x) = f(x+g) - f(x)$$

for every  $f: G \to H$  and  $x \in G$ .

• A function  $f : G \rightarrow H$  is a generalized polynomial, if there is a k such that

$$\Delta_{g_1} \dots \Delta_{g_{k+1}} f = 0 \tag{2}$$

for every  $g_1, \ldots, g_{k+1} \in G$ .

 The degree of the generalized polynomial f: the smallest k such that (2) holds for for every g<sub>1</sub>,..., g<sub>k+1</sub> ∈ G. We denote it by deg f.

## Extended theorem

Let  $j : R \to R$  denote the identity function on R.

Theorem

Let R be an integral domain of characteristic zero and K its field of fractions and let n be a positive integer. Then for every D:  $R \rightarrow R$ , the following are equivalent

- 1.  $D \in \mathcal{D}_n(R)$ ,
- 2.  $D \in \mathrm{cl}\mathcal{O}_n^0(R)$ .
- 3. D is additive on R, D(1) = 0, and D/j, as a map from the semigroup  $R^*$  to K, is a generalized polynomial of degree at most n.

## $1 \Rightarrow 3 \text{ and } 3 \Rightarrow 2$

#### Lemma

Let R be a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ , let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be its field of fractions, and suppose that the transcendence degree of K over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is finite. Let the map  $D : R \to R$  be additive. If D/j, as a map from the semigroup  $R^*$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  is a generalized polynomial of degree at most n, then  $D \in \mathcal{O}_n$ .

#### Lemma

Let R be an integral domain and K be its field of fractions. If  $D \in \mathcal{D}_n$ , then p = D/j, as a map from the semigroup  $R^*$  to K is a generalized polynomial of degree at most n.

Easy inductive argument: Using p(xy) - p(x) - p(y) = B(x, y)/xy for every  $x, y \in K^*$ , we have

$$\Delta_{y}p(x) = p(y) + \frac{1}{y} \cdot \frac{B(x, y)}{x}.$$
(3)

# $3 \Rightarrow 1$

#### Lemma

Let R be an integral domain, and let K be its field of fractions. If  $d_1, \ldots, d_n$  are nonzero derivations on R and  $D = d_1 \circ \ldots \circ d_n$ , then D/j, as a map from the semigroup  $R^*$  to K, is a generalized polynomial of degree at most n. If R is of characteristic zero, then deg D/j = n.

#### Corollary

Let R be an integral domain, and let K be its field of fractions. If  $D \in cl\mathcal{O}_n^0(R)$ , then D/j, as a map from the semigroup  $R^*$  to K, is a generalized polynomial of degree at most n.

# On fields of finite transcendence degree

#### Theorem

Let K be field of fractions with finite transcendence degree and let n be a positive integer. Then for every  $D: R \rightarrow R$ , the following are equivalent:

- 1.  $D \in \mathcal{D}_n(R) \setminus \mathcal{D}_{n-1}(R)$ ,
- 2.  $D \in \operatorname{cl}\mathcal{O}_n^0(R) \setminus \operatorname{cl}\mathcal{O}_{n-1}^0(R)$ .
- 3. D is additive on K, D(1) = 0, and  $D/j : K^* \to K$  is a generalized polynomial of degree n.
- 4. D is additive on K, D(1) = 0, and  $D/j : K^* \to K$  is a polynomial of degree n.

# An example

The previous Theorem and Corollary do not necessarily hold without assuming that R is of characteristic zero. Let  $F_2$  denote the field having two elements, and let  $R = F_2[x]$  be the ring of polynomials with coefficients from  $F_2$ . We put

$$D\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i \cdot x^i\right) = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{i(i-1)}{2} \cdot a_i \cdot x^{i-2}$$

for every  $n \ge 0$  and  $a_0, \ldots, a_n \in F_2$ . Then

- $D \in \mathcal{D}_2(R)$ .
- D(x) = 0 and  $D(x^2) = 1$ , thus  $D \in \mathcal{D}_2 \setminus \mathcal{D}_1$ .

## An example (cont.)

Recall  $R = F_2[x]$ . Let  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  be arbitrary derivations on R. Then  $d_1 \circ d_2$  is also a derivation, i.e,

$$(d_1 \circ d_2)(x^k) = k \cdot x^{k-1} \cdot d_1(d_2(x)), \qquad (\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \qquad (4)$$

Indeed, for  $k \ge 2$ 

$$d_1(d_2(x^k)) = d_1(k \cdot x^{k-1} \cdot d_2(x))$$
  
=  $k(k-1) \cdot x^{k-2} \cdot d_1(x) \cdot d_2(x) + k \cdot x^{k-1} \cdot d_1(d_2(x)).$ 

Let  $a = d_1(d_2(x)) \in R$ , then  $d_1(d_2(p)) = a \cdot \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$  for every  $p \in R$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{O}_2^0 = \mathcal{O}_1^0$ , and thus  $\mathcal{O}_2^0 \subsetneq \mathcal{D}_2$ .

# Nonzero Characteristic

#### Theorem

Let R be an integral domain of characteristic zero, and let n be a positive integer. If  $d_1, \ldots, d_n$  are nonzero derivations on R, then  $d_1 \circ \ldots \circ d_n \in \mathcal{D}_n \setminus \mathcal{D}_{n-1}$ .

In previous example we show  $d(p) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$   $(p \in F_2[x])$  is derivation and  $d \circ \cdots \circ d$  is also.

## Theorem (B. Ebanks '18)

Let *m* and *n* be a positive integers. Let *R* be an integral domain of characteristic *m* and  $d_1, \ldots, d_n$  be nonzero derivations on *R*. Then  $d_1 \circ \ldots \circ d_n \in \mathcal{D}_n \setminus \mathcal{D}_{n-1}$  if and only if n! < m.

# Analogue of the main result

#### Theorem

Let n be a positive integer, m be a prime. Let R be an integral domain of characteristic m and K its field of fractions. Then for every D:  $R \rightarrow R$ , the following are equivalent if and only if n! < m

- 1.  $D \in \mathcal{D}_n(R)$ ,
- 2.  $D \in \mathrm{cl}\mathcal{O}_n^0(R)$ .
- 3. D is additive on R, D(1) = 0, and D/j, as a map from the semigroup  $R^*$  to K, is a generalized polynomial of degree at most n.

## R is not a integral domain

None of the previous results holds if the ring is not an integral domain. Not even for rings of characteristic zero. Let  $R = \mathbb{Q}[x] \times \mathbb{Q}[x]$ , and for every  $(p, q) \in R$  we put  $d_1(p, q) = (\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}, 0)$ ,  $d_2(p, q) = (0, \frac{\partial q}{\partial x})$ . Then  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are nonzero derivations on R, but  $d_1 \circ d_2 = 0$ .

# Thank you for your kind attention.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- B.Ebanks. Derivations and Leibniz differences on rings, Aequationes Mathematicae, submitted.
- G. Kiss and M. Laczkovich. *Derivations and differential operators*, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest, accepted.
- J. Unger and L. Reich. Derivationen höherer Ordnung als L ösungen von Funktionalgleichungen, volume 336 of Grazer Mathematische Berichte [Graz Mathematical Reports]. Karl-Franzens-Universität Graz, Graz, 1998.