

Recent results on conservative and symmetric n -ary semigroups

Gergely Kiss

Joint work with Jimmy Devillet and Jean-Luc Marichal

University of Luxembourg

55th International Symposium of Functional Equations and Inequalities
Chengdu, China, June 11-18, 2017

n -ary semigroups

Definition

Let X be an arbitrary set. An operation $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is said to be $(n-)$ associative if

$$\begin{aligned} & F(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, F(x_i, \dots, x_{i+n-1}), x_{i+n}, \dots, x_{2n-1}) \\ &= F(x_1, \dots, x_i, F(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+n}), x_{i+n+1}, \dots, x_{2n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1} \in X$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

n -ary semigroups

Definition

Let X be an arbitrary set. An operation $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is said to be *(n -)associative* if

$$\begin{aligned} & F(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, F(x_i, \dots, x_{i+n-1}), x_{i+n}, \dots, x_{2n-1}) \\ &= F(x_1, \dots, x_i, F(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+n}), x_{i+n+1}, \dots, x_{2n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1} \in X$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Natural generalization: For $n = 2$ we get

$$F(F(x, y), z) = F(x, F(y, z))$$

holds for every $x, y, z \in X$.

n-ary semigroups

Definition

Let X be an arbitrary set. An operation $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is said to be *(n-)*associative if

$$\begin{aligned} & F(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, F(x_i, \dots, x_{i+n-1}), x_{i+n}, \dots, x_{2n-1}) \\ &= F(x_1, \dots, x_i, F(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+n}), x_{i+n+1}, \dots, x_{2n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1} \in X$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Natural generalization: For $n = 2$ we get

$$F(F(x, y), z) = F(x, F(y, z))$$

holds for every $x, y, z \in X$.

Definition

For a nonempty set X and an associative function $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ the pair (X, F) is called *n-ary semigroup*.

Other important definitions

Definition

An operation $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is said to be

- *reflexive* (or *idempotent*) if $F(x, \dots, x) = x$ for all $x \in X$;

Other important definitions

Definition

An operation $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is said to be

- *reflexive* (or *idempotent*) if $F(x, \dots, x) = x$ for all $x \in X$;
- *conservative* (or *quasitrivial*, *selective*) if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$;

Other important definitions

Definition

An operation $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is said to be

- **reflexive** (or idempotent) if $F(x, \dots, x) = x$ for all $x \in X$;
- **conservative** (or quasitrivial, selective) if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$;
- **symmetric** if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is invariant under any permutation of x_1, \dots, x_n ;

Other important definitions

Definition

An operation $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is said to be

- **reflexive** (or idempotent) if $F(x, \dots, x) = x$ for all $x \in X$;
- **conservative** (or quasitrivial, selective) if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$;
- **symmetric** if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is invariant under any permutation of x_1, \dots, x_n ;

Assuming that (X, \leq) is a **chain**, an operation $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is said to be

- **nondecreasing** (w.r.t. \leq) if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq F(x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$ whenever $x_i \leq x'_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Other important definitions

Definition

An operation $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is said to be

- **reflexive** (or idempotent) if $F(x, \dots, x) = x$ for all $x \in X$;
- **conservative** (or quasitrivial, selective) if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$;
- **symmetric** if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is invariant under any permutation of x_1, \dots, x_n ;

Assuming that (X, \leq) is a **chain**, an operation $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is said to be

- **nondecreasing** (w.r.t. \leq) if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq F(x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$ whenever $x_i \leq x'_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

We also introduce the notation $\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_n = n \cdot x$.

Other important definitions

Definition

An operation $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is said to be

- **reflexive** (or **idempotent**) if $F(n \cdot x) = x$ for all $x \in X$;
- **conservative** (or **quasitrivial**, **selective**) if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$;
- **symmetric** if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is invariant under any permutation of x_1, \dots, x_n ;

Assuming that (X, \leq) is a **chain**, an operation $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is said to be

- **nondecreasing** (w.r.t. \leq) if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq F(x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$ whenever $x_i \leq x'_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

We also introduce the notation $\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_n}_n = n \cdot x$.

Other important definitions

Definition

An operation $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is said to be

- **reflexive** (or **idempotent**) if $F(n \cdot x) = x$ for all $x \in X$;
- **conservative** (or **quasitrivial**, **selective**) if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$;
- **symmetric** if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is invariant under any permutation of x_1, \dots, x_n ;

Assuming that (X, \leq) is a **chain**, an operation $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is said to be

- **nondecreasing** (w.r.t. \leq) if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq F(x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$ whenever $x_i \leq x'_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

We also introduce the notation $\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_n = n \cdot x$.

In my talk I focus on conservative, symmetric, nondecreasing n -ary semigroups (X, F) , where X is a chain.

Other important definitions

Definition

An operation $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is said to be

- **reflexive** (or idempotent) if $F(n \cdot x) = x$ for all $x \in X$;
- **conservative** (or quasitrivial, selective) if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$;
- **symmetric** if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is invariant under any permutation of x_1, \dots, x_n ;

Assuming that (X, \leq) is a chain, an operation $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is said to be

- **nondecreasing** (w.r.t. \leq) if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq F(x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$ whenever $x_i \leq x'_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

We also introduce the notation $\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_n = n \cdot x$.

In my talk I focus on conservative, symmetric, nondecreasing n -ary semigroups (X, F) , where X is a chain.

Neutral element

Definition

Let $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ be an operation.

- An element $e \in X$ is said to be a *neutral element* of F if

$$F((i-1) \cdot e, x, (n-i) \cdot e) = x$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Neutral element

Definition

Let $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ be an operation.

- An element $e \in X$ is said to be a **neutral element** of F if

$$F((i-1) \cdot e, x, (n-i) \cdot e) = x$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

- The points \underline{x} and \underline{y} of X^n are said to be **connected for F** if $F(\underline{x}) = F(\underline{y})$.

Neutral element

Definition

Let $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ be an operation.

- An element $e \in X$ is said to be a **neutral element** of F if

$$F((i-1) \cdot e, x, (n-i) \cdot e) = x$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

- The points \underline{x} and \underline{y} of X^n are said to be **connected for F** if $F(\underline{x}) = F(\underline{y})$.
- The point \underline{x} of X^n is said to be **isolated for F** if it is not connected to another point in X^n .

Neutral element

Definition

Let $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ be an operation.

- An element $e \in X$ is said to be a **neutral element** of F if

$$F((i-1) \cdot e, x, (n-i) \cdot e) = x$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

- The points \underline{x} and \underline{y} of X^n are said to be **connected for F** if $F(\underline{x}) = F(\underline{y})$.
- The point \underline{x} of X^n is said to be **isolated for F** if it is not connected to another point in X^n .

A neutral element is unique if $n = 2$

Neutral element

Definition

Let $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ be an operation.

- An element $e \in X$ is said to be a **neutral element** of F if

$$F((i-1) \cdot e, x, (n-i) \cdot e) = x$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

- The points \underline{x} and \underline{y} of X^n are said to be **connected for F** if $F(\underline{x}) = F(\underline{y})$.
- The point \underline{x} of X^n is said to be **isolated for F** if it is not connected to another point in X^n .

A neutral element is unique if $n = 2$ and not necessarily unique if $n \geq 3$.

Neutral element

Definition

Let $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ be an operation.

- An element $e \in X$ is said to be a **neutral element** of F if

$$F((i-1) \cdot e, x, (n-i) \cdot e) = x$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

- The points \underline{x} and \underline{y} of X^n are said to be **connected for F** if $F(\underline{x}) = F(\underline{y})$.
- The point \underline{x} of X^n is said to be **isolated for F** if it is not connected to another point in X^n .

A neutral element is unique if $n = 2$ and not necessarily unique if $n \geq 3$.

Example

$F(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \pmod{2}$ on $X = \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Connectivity and neutral element

Example (More generally)

$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv x_1 + \dots + x_n \pmod{(n-1)}$ on $X = \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}$ ($n \geq 3$).

Connectivity and neutral element

Example (More generally)

$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv x_1 + \dots + x_n \pmod{(n-1)}$ on $X = \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}$ ($n \geq 3$).

Proposition

Let X be a chain. If $F : X^n \rightarrow X$ is a nondecreasing operation, then F has at most one neutral element.

Connectivity and neutral element

Example (More generally)

$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv x_1 + \dots + x_n \pmod{(n-1)}$ on $X = \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}$ ($n \geq 3$).

Proposition

Let X be a chain. If $F : X^n \rightarrow X$ is a nondecreasing operation, then F has at most one neutral element.

Proposition

Let $F : X^n \rightarrow X$ be a reflexive operation. If $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ is isolated for F , then necessarily $x_1 = \dots = x_n$.

Connectivity and neutral element

Example (More generally)

$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv x_1 + \dots + x_n \pmod{(n-1)}$ on $X = \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}$ ($n \geq 3$).

Proposition

Let X be a chain. If $F : X^n \rightarrow X$ is a nondecreasing operation, then F has at most one neutral element.

Proposition

Let $F : X^n \rightarrow X$ be a reflexive operation. If $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ is isolated for F , then necessarily $x_1 = \dots = x_n$.

Corollary

Any conservative operation $F : X^n \rightarrow X$ has at most one isolated point.

Proposition

Let $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ be a conservative operation and let $e \in X$. If $(n \cdot e)$ is isolated for F , then e is a neutral element.

Proposition

Let $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ be a conservative operation and let $e \in X$. If $(n \cdot e)$ is isolated for F , then e is a neutral element.

The converse holds if and only if $n = 2$.

Proposition

Let $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ be a conservative operation and let $e \in X$. If $(n \cdot e)$ is isolated for F , then e is a neutral element.

The converse holds if and only if $n = 2$.

Counter example

Let $X = \{a, b, e\}$ and let $F: X^3 \rightarrow X$ be defined as

$$F(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} a, & \text{if there are more } a\text{'s than } b\text{'s among } x, y, z, \\ b, & \text{if there are more } b\text{'s than } a\text{'s among } x, y, z, \\ e, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The operation F is conservative and has e as the neutral element. However, we have $F(e, e, e) = F(a, b, e)$ and hence the point (e, e, e) is not isolated for F .

Why are neutral elements so important?

Definition

Let $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ and $H: X^2 \rightarrow X$ be associative operations. F is said to be *derived from* (or *reducible to*) H if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \circ \dots \circ x_n$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, where $x \circ y = H(x, y)$.

Why are neutral elements so important?

Definition

Let $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ and $H: X^2 \rightarrow X$ be associative operations. F is said to be *derived from* (or *reducible to*) H if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \circ \dots \circ x_n$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, where $x \circ y = H(x, y)$.

Theorem (Dudek-Mukhin)

Let X be a nonempty set. A function $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ can be derived from an associative function $H: X^2 \rightarrow X$ if and only if F has a neutral element or there can be adjoin a neutral element to X for F .

Why are neutral elements so important?

Definition

Let $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ and $H: X^2 \rightarrow X$ be associative operations. F is said to be *derived from* (or *reducible to*) H if $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \circ \dots \circ x_n$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, where $x \circ y = H(x, y)$.

Theorem (Dudek-Mukhin)

Let X be a nonempty set. A function $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ can be derived from an associative function $H: X^2 \rightarrow X$ if and only if F has a neutral element or there can be adjoin a neutral element to X for F .

Corollary

If $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is associative and has a neutral element $e \in X$, then F is derived from the associative operation $H: X^2 \rightarrow X$ defined by $H(x, y) = F(x, (n-2) \cdot e, y)$.

The case when $F : X^n \rightarrow X$ is an associative, monotone, reflexive function that has a neutral element was well understood.

The case when $F : X^n \rightarrow X$ is an associative, monotone, reflexive function that has a neutral element was well understood. In this presentation we extend the investigation:

Proposition

Let X be a chain and $F : X^n \rightarrow X$ be an associative, reflexive, nondecreasing function that has a neutral element. Then F is conservative.

Proposition (Martin-Mayor-Torrens, Couceiro-Devillet-Marichal)

Let X be a chain. If $G: X^2 \rightarrow X$ is conservative, symmetric, and nondecreasing, then it is associative.

Proposition (Martin-Mayor-Torrens, Couceiro-Devillet-Marichal)

Let X be a chain. If $G: X^2 \rightarrow X$ is conservative, symmetric, and nondecreasing, then it is associative.

Theorem (Main theorem)

Let X be a chain and let $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ ($n \geq 3$) be a conservative, symmetric, and nondecreasing function. The following assertions are equivalent.

Proposition (Martin-Mayor-Torrens, Couceiro-Devillet-Marichal)

Let X be a chain. If $G: X^2 \rightarrow X$ is conservative, symmetric, and nondecreasing, then it is associative.

Theorem (Main theorem)

Let X be a chain and let $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ ($n \geq 3$) be a conservative, symmetric, and nondecreasing function. The following assertions are equivalent.

(i) *F is associative*

Proposition (Martin-Mayor-Torrens, Couceiro-Devillet-Marichal)

Let X be a chain. If $G: X^2 \rightarrow X$ is conservative, symmetric, and nondecreasing, then it is associative.

Theorem (Main theorem)

Let X be a chain and let $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ ($n \geq 3$) be a conservative, symmetric, and nondecreasing function. The following assertions are equivalent.

(i) F is associative (i.e.: (X, F) is a n -ary semigroup).

Proposition (Martin-Mayor-Torrens, Couceiro-Devillet-Marichal)

Let X be a chain. If $G: X^2 \rightarrow X$ is conservative, symmetric, and nondecreasing, then it is associative.

Theorem (Main theorem)

Let X be a chain and let $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ ($n \geq 3$) be a conservative, symmetric, and nondecreasing function. The following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) F is associative (i.e.: (X, F) is a n -ary semigroup).
- (ii) $F((n-1) \cdot x, y) = F(x, (n-1) \cdot y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Proposition (Martin-Mayor-Torrens, Couceiro-Devillet-Marichal)

Let X be a chain. If $G: X^2 \rightarrow X$ is conservative, symmetric, and nondecreasing, then it is associative.

Theorem (Main theorem)

Let X be a chain and let $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ ($n \geq 3$) be a conservative, symmetric, and nondecreasing function. The following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) F is associative (i.e.: (X, F) is a n -ary semigroup).
- (ii) $F((n-1) \cdot x, y) = F(x, (n-1) \cdot y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.
- (iii) There exists a conservative and nondecreasing operation $G: X^2 \rightarrow X$ such that

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = G(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n x_i, \bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i), \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X. \quad (1)$$

Proposition (Martin-Mayor-Torrens, Couceiro-Devillet-Marichal)

Let X be a chain. If $G: X^2 \rightarrow X$ is conservative, symmetric, and nondecreasing, then it is associative.

Theorem (Main theorem)

Let X be a chain and let $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ ($n \geq 3$) be a conservative, symmetric, and nondecreasing function. The following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) F is associative (i.e.: (X, F) is a n -ary semigroup).
- (ii) $F((n-1) \cdot x, y) = F(x, (n-1) \cdot y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.
- (iii) There exists a conservative and nondecreasing operation $G: X^2 \rightarrow X$ such that

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = G(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n x_i, \bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i), \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X. \quad (1)$$

Moreover, the operation G is unique, symmetric, and associative in assertion (iii).

Consequences

Corollary

Let X be a chain. If $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is of the form (1), where $G: X^2 \rightarrow X$ is conservative, symmetric and nondecreasing, then F is associative and derived from G .

Consequences

Corollary

Let X be a chain. If $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is of the form (1), where $G: X^2 \rightarrow X$ is conservative, symmetric and nondecreasing, then F is associative and derived from G .

Corollary

Let X be a chain. If $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is a conservative, symmetric, nondecreasing and associative, then F has a neutral element or we can adjoin one.

Consequences

Corollary

Let X be a chain. If $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is of the form (1), where $G: X^2 \rightarrow X$ is conservative, symmetric and nondecreasing, then F is associative and derived from G .

Corollary

Let X be a chain. If $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is a conservative, symmetric, nondecreasing and associative, then F has a neutral element or we can adjoin one.

Back to the neutral element

Proposition

Let X be a chain and let $e \in X$. Assume that $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is of the form (1), where $G: X^2 \rightarrow X$ is conservative, nondecreasing and symmetric. Then the following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) e is a neutral element of F .
- (ii) e is a neutral element of G .
- (iii) The point (e, e) is isolated for G .
- (iv) The point $(n \cdot e)$ is isolated for F .

Back to the neutral element

Proposition

Let X be a chain and let $e \in X$. Assume that $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is of the form (1), where $G: X^2 \rightarrow X$ is conservative, nondecreasing and symmetric. Then the following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) e is a neutral element of F .
- (ii) e is a neutral element of G .
- (iii) The point (e, e) is isolated for G .
- (iv) The point $(n \cdot e)$ is isolated for F .

Corollary

Let X and F as above. Then F has a neutral element iff there exists an isolated point for F .

The single-peaked ordering

Proposition (Ackerman)

Let X be a set and $H : X^2 \rightarrow X$ be an associative, conservative, symmetric function, then there exists a linear ordering \leq on X such that F is the maximum operation on (X, \leq) .

The single-peaked ordering

Proposition (Ackerman)

Let X be a set and $H: X^2 \rightarrow X$ be an associative, conservative, symmetric function, then there exists a linear ordering \leq on X such that F is the maximum operation on (X, \leq) .

Corollary

An operation $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is conservative, symmetric, associative, and derived from a conservative and associative operation $H: X^2 \rightarrow X$ iff there exists a linear ordering \leq on X such that F is the maximum operation on (X, \leq) , i.e.,

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \vee_{\leq} \dots \vee_{\leq} x_n, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X. \quad (2)$$

The single-peaked ordering

Proposition (Ackerman)

Let X be a set and $H: X^2 \rightarrow X$ be an associative, conservative, symmetric function, then there exists a linear ordering \leq on X such that F is the maximum operation on (X, \leq) .

Corollary

An operation $F: X^n \rightarrow X$ is conservative, symmetric, associative, and derived from a conservative and associative operation $H: X^2 \rightarrow X$ iff there exists a linear ordering \leq on X such that F is the maximum operation on (X, \leq) , i.e.,

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \vee_{\leq} \dots \vee_{\leq} x_n, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X. \quad (2)$$

Definition

In this case if (X, \leq) is a chain, then we say that new ordering \leq is *single-peaked* w.r.t. \leq .

Example

Consider the real operation $F: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined as

$$F(x, y) = \begin{cases} x \vee y, & \text{if } x + y \geq 1, \\ x \wedge y, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Example

Consider the real operation $F: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined as

$$F(x, y) = \begin{cases} x \vee y, & \text{if } x + y \geq 1, \\ x \wedge y, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Denoting the single-peaked linear ordering on $[0, 1]$ by \leq , then

$$x \leq y \Leftrightarrow (y \leq x < 1 - y \text{ or } 1 - y \leq x \leq y), \quad x, y \in [0, 1].$$

Example

Consider the real operation $F: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined as

$$F(x, y) = \begin{cases} x \vee y, & \text{if } x + y \geq 1, \\ x \wedge y, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Denoting the single-peaked linear ordering on $[0, 1]$ by \leq , then

$$x \leq y \Leftrightarrow (y \leq x < 1 - y \text{ or } 1 - y \leq x \leq y), \quad x, y \in [0, 1].$$

So for every $x \in [0, 1]$, there is no $y \in [0, 1]$ such that $x < y < 1 - x$ or $1 - x < y < x$.

Example

Consider the real operation $F: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined as

$$F(x, y) = \begin{cases} x \vee y, & \text{if } x + y \geq 1, \\ x \wedge y, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Denoting the single-peaked linear ordering on $[0, 1]$ by \leq , then

$$x \leq y \iff (y \leq x < 1 - y \text{ or } 1 - y \leq x \leq y), \quad x, y \in [0, 1].$$

So for every $x \in [0, 1]$, there is no $y \in [0, 1]$ such that $x < y < 1 - x$ or $1 - x < y < x$. From this observation one can show that the chain $([0, 1], \leq)$ cannot be embedded into the reals (\mathbb{R}, \leq) .

Thank you for your kind attention.



N. L. Ackerman.

A characterization of quasitrivial n -semigroups.
To appear in *Algebra Universalis*.



D. Black.

On the rationale of group decision-making.
J Polit Economy, 56(1):23-34, 1948



M. Couceiro, J. Devillet, and J.-L. Marichal.

Characterizations of idempotent discrete uninorms.
Submitted for publication. arXiv:1701.07253



W. A. Dudek and V. V. Mukhin.

On n -ary semigroups with adjoint neutral element.
Quasigroups and Related Systems, 14:163-168, 2006.



G. Kiss and G. Somlai.

A characterization of n -associative, monotone, idempotent functions on an interval that have neutral elements.
Semigroup Forum, doi:10.1007/s00233-017-9876-3.



J. Martín, G. Mayor, and J. Torrens.

On locally internal monotonic operations.
Fuzzy Sets and Systems 137:27-42, 2003.