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#### Abstract

We study the property of strong barycentric associativity, a stronger version of barycentric associativity for functions with indefinite arities. We introduce and discuss the more general property of strong barycentric preassociativity, a generalization of strong barycentric associativity which does not involve any composition of functions. We also provide a generalization of Kolmogoroff-Nagumo's characterization of the quasi-arithmetic mean functions to strongly barycentrically preassociative functions.


## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ and $Y$ be arbitrary nonempty sets. Throughout this paper we regard tuples x in $X^{n}$ as $n$-strings over $X$. We let $X^{*}=\bigcup_{n \geqslant 0} X^{n}$ be the set of all strings over $X$, with the convention that $X^{0}=\{\varepsilon\}$ (i.e., $\varepsilon$ denotes the unique 0 -string on $X)$. We denote the elements of $X^{*}$ by bold roman letters $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$, etc. If we want to stress that such an element is a letter of $X$, we use non-bold italic letters $x, y$, $z$, etc. The length of a string $\mathbf{x}$ is denoted by $|\mathbf{x}|$. For instance, $|\varepsilon|=0$. We endow the set $X^{*}$ with the concatenation operation, for which $\varepsilon$ is the neutral element. For instance, if $\mathbf{x} \in X^{m}$ and $y \in X$, then $\varepsilon \mathbf{x} y=\mathbf{x} y \in X^{m+1}$. Moreover, for every string $\mathbf{x}$ and every integer $n \geqslant 0$, the power $\mathbf{x}^{n}$ stands for the string obtained by concatenating $n$ copies of $\mathbf{x}$. In particular we have $\mathbf{x}^{0}=\varepsilon$.

As usual, a map $F: X^{n} \rightarrow Y$ is said to be an $n$-ary function (an $n$-ary operation on $X$ if $Y=X$ ). Also, a map $F: X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a variadic function (a variadic operation on $X$ if $Y=X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$, a string function on $X$ if $Y=X^{*}$; see [4]). For every variadic function $F: X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ and every integer $n \geqslant 0$, we denote by $F_{n}$ the $n$-ary part $\left.F\right|_{X^{n}}$ of $F$. Finally, a variadic function $F: X^{n} \rightarrow Y$ is said to be $\varepsilon$-standard [8] if $\varepsilon \in Y$ and

$$
F(\mathrm{x})=\varepsilon \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{x}=\varepsilon .
$$

Recall that a variadic operation $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ is said to be barycentrically associative (or $B$-associative for short) [9] if it satisfies the equation

$$
F(\mathbf{x y z})=F\left(\mathbf{x} F(\mathbf{y})^{|\mathbf{y}|} \mathbf{z}\right), \quad \mathrm{xyz} \in X^{*} .
$$

B-associativity (also known as decomposability [1, 2]) was essentially introduced in 1909 by Schimmack [12] and then used later by Kolmogoroff [3] and Nagumo [11] in a characterization of the class of quasi-arithmetic mean functions. For general background and historical notes on B-associativity, see [9].

[^0]The following stronger version of B-associativity (also known as strong decomposability) was introduced in [5,7]. For every $\mathbf{x} \in X^{*}$ and every $K \subseteq\{1, \ldots,|\mathbf{x}|\}$ (with $K=\varnothing$ if $\mathbf{x}=\varepsilon$ ), we denote by $\left.\mathbf{x}\right|_{K}$ the string obtained from $\mathbf{x}$ by removing all the letters $x_{i}$ for which $i \in K^{c}=\{1, \ldots,|\mathbf{x}|\} \backslash K$. A variadic operation $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ is said to be strongly barycentrically associative (or strongly B-associative for short) if for every $\mathbf{x} \in X^{*}$ and every $K \subseteq\{1, \ldots,|\mathbf{x}|\}$, we have $F(\mathbf{x})=F\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \in X^{|\mathbf{x}|}$ is defined by $\left.\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|_{K}=F\left(\left.\mathbf{x}\right|_{K}\right)^{|\mathbf{x}| K \mid}$ and $\left.\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|_{K^{c}}=\left.\mathbf{x}\right|_{K^{c}}$.

For instance, if the operation $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ is strongly B-associative, then it satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\mathbf{x y z})=F\left(F(\mathbf{x z})^{|\mathbf{x}|} \mathbf{y} F(\mathrm{x} \mathbf{z})^{|\mathrm{z}|}\right), \quad \mathrm{xyz} \in X^{*} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not difficult to see that any strongly B-associative operation $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ is B -associative. The converse holds if $F$ is symmetric (i.e., $F_{n}$ is symmetric for every $n \geqslant 1$ ). However, it does not hold in general. For instance, the $\varepsilon$-standard operation $F: \mathbb{R}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ defined as $F_{n}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$ for every integer $n \geqslant 1$, is strongly B-associative and hence B-associative. However, the $\varepsilon$-standard operation $F: \mathbb{R}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ defined by

$$
F_{n}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2^{i-1}}{2^{n}-1} x_{i}, \quad n \geqslant 1
$$

is B-associative but not strongly B-associative (see [2, p. 37]). It is also noteworthy that the strongly B-associative operations need not be symmetric. For instance the $\varepsilon$-standard operation $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ defined by $F_{n}(\mathbf{x})=x_{1}$ for every $n \geqslant 1$ is strongly B-associative, and similarly if $F_{n}(\mathbf{x})=x_{n}$ for every $n \geqslant 1$.

Recall that a variadic function $F: X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ is said to be barycentrically preassociative (or B-preassociative for short) [9] if, for every $\mathbf{x y y}^{\prime} \mathbf{z} \in X^{*}$, we have

$$
|\mathbf{y}|=\left|\mathbf{y}^{\prime}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad F(\mathbf{y})=F\left(\mathbf{y}^{\prime}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad F(\mathbf{x y z})=F\left(\mathbf{x y}^{\prime} \mathbf{z}\right)
$$

It is easy to see that any B-associative operation $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ is necessarily B-preassociative [9]. This observation motivates the introduction of the following property, which generalizes strong B-associativity.
Definition 1.1. We say that a variadic function $F: X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ is strongly barycentrically preassociative (or strongly B-preassociative for short) if for every $\mathbf{x} \in X^{*}$, every $\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \in X^{|\mathbf{x}|}$, and every $K \subseteq\{1, \ldots,|\mathbf{x}|\}$, we have

$$
F\left(\left.\mathbf{x}\right|_{K}\right)=F\left(\left.\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|_{K}\right) \quad \text { and }\left.\quad \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|_{K^{c}}=\left.\mathbf{x}\right|_{K^{c}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad F(\mathbf{x})=F\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Just as strong B-associativity is a stronger version of B-associativity, strong Bpreassociativity is a stronger version of B-preassociativity. However, these latter two properties are equivalent under the symmetry assumption. Also, since none of these properties involve any composition of functions, they allow us to consider a codomain $Y$ that may differ from the set $X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$. For instance, the length function $F: X^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined as $F(\mathbf{x})=|\mathbf{x}|$, is strongly B-preassociative.

In Section 2 of this paper we investigate both strong B-associativity and strong B-preassociativity. In particular, we provide equivalent formulations of these properties. For instance, we establish the surprising result that strong B-associativity is completely characterized by Eq. (1). We also provide factorization results for strongly B-preassociative functions. Finally, in Section 3 we recall a variant of Kolmogoroff-Nagumo's characterization of the class of quasi-arithmetic means based
on the strong B-associativity property and we generalize this characterization to strongly B-preassociative functions.

The terminology used throughout this paper is the following. The domain and range of any function $f$ are denoted by $\operatorname{dom}(f)$ and $\operatorname{ran}(f)$, respectively. The identity operation on any nonempty set $E$ is denoted by $\mathrm{id}_{E}$. For every integer $n \geqslant 1$, the diagonal section $\delta_{F}: X \rightarrow Y$ of a function $F: X^{n} \rightarrow Y$ is defined as $\delta_{F}(x)=F\left(x^{n}\right)$.

Remark 1. As already observed in [9], if a B-associative operation $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ is such that $\operatorname{ran}\left(F_{n}\right) \subseteq X$ for every $n \geqslant 1$, then the value of $F(\varepsilon)$ is unimportant in the sense that if we modify this value, then the resulting operation is still B-associative. Clearly, this observation also holds for strongly B-associative operations, B-preassociative functions, and strongly B-preassociative functions.

## 2. Strong barycentric associativity and preassociativity

In this section we investigate both strong B-associativity and strong B-preassociativity properties. We start our investigation by showing that, surprisingly, strong B-associativity can be characterized simply by condition (1), thus providing a very concise definition of this (equational) property by means of a single equation.

Proposition 2.1. A variadic operation $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ is strongly B-associative if and only if it satisfies Eq. (1). Moreover, we may assume that $|\mathbf{y}| \leqslant 1$ in (1).

Proof. The condition is clearly necessary. Let us show that it is also sufficient. Assuming that $F$ satisfies (1), we have to prove that for every $\mathbf{x} \in X^{*}$ and every $K \subseteq\{1, \ldots,|\mathbf{x}|\}$, we have $F(\mathbf{x})=F\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \in X^{|\mathbf{x}|}$ is defined by $\left.\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|_{K}=$ $F\left(\left.\mathbf{x}\right|_{K}\right)^{|\mathbf{x}|_{K} \mid}$ and $\left.\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|_{K^{c}}=\left.\mathbf{x}\right|_{K^{c}}$. Let us proceed by induction on $n=|\mathbf{x}|$. The result clearly holds for $n=0$. It also holds for $n=1$ since we have $F(x)=F(F(x))$ for any $x \in X$ (take $\mathbf{x}=x$ and $\mathbf{y z}=\varepsilon$ in (1)). It also holds for $n=2$ since a similar argument gives $F(x y)=F(F(x) y)=F(x F(y))=F(F(x y))$ for any $x, y \in X$. Now, suppose that the result holds for any $n \geqslant 2$ and let us show that it holds for $n+1$. Let $\mathbf{x} \in X^{n+1}$, let $K \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n+1\}$, and let $\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \in X^{n+1}$ be defined by $\left.\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|_{K}=F\left(\left.\mathbf{x}\right|_{K}\right)^{\left.|\mathbf{x}|\right|_{K} \mid}$ and $\left.\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|_{K^{c}}=\left.\mathbf{x}\right|_{K^{c}}$, where $K^{c}=\{1, \ldots, n+1\} \backslash K$. The result is trivial if $|K|=n+1$ since we have $F(\mathbf{x})=F\left(F(\mathbf{x})^{|\mathbf{x}|}\right)$ ( take $\mathbf{y z}=\varepsilon$ in (1)). So assume that $|K| \leqslant n$ and take $k \in K^{c}$. Then there exist $\mathbf{u v}, \mathbf{u}^{\prime} \mathbf{v}^{\prime} \in X^{n}$, with $|\mathbf{u}|=\left|\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right|$ and $|\mathbf{v}|=\left|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}\right|$, such that $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{u} x_{k} \mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\mathbf{u}^{\prime} x_{k} \mathbf{v}^{\prime}$. We then have

$$
F(\mathbf{x})=F\left(F(\mathbf{u v})^{|\mathbf{u}|} x_{k} F(\mathbf{u v})^{|\mathbf{v}|}\right)=F\left(F\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime} \mathbf{v}^{\prime}\right)^{\left|\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right|} x_{k} F\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime} \mathbf{v}^{\prime}\right)^{\left|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}\right|}\right)=F\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right),
$$

where the first and last equalities hold by (1) and the second equality by the induction hypothesis. This completes the proof of the proposition.

The following proposition provides equivalent formulations of strong B-preassociativity.

Proposition 2.2. Let $F: X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ be a variadic function. The following assertions are equivalent.
(i) $F$ is strongly B-preassociative.
(ii) For every $\mathbf{x x}^{\prime} \in X^{*}$ such that $|\mathbf{x}|=\left|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|$ and every $K \subseteq\{1, \ldots,|\mathbf{x}|\}$ we have

$$
F\left(\left.\mathbf{x}\right|_{K}\right)=F\left(\left.\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|_{K}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad F\left(\left.\mathbf{x}\right|_{K^{c}}\right)=F\left(\left.\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|_{K^{c}}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad F(\mathbf{x})=F\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

(iii) For every $\mathbf{x x}^{\prime} \mathbf{y z z}^{\prime} \in X^{*}$ we have

$$
|\mathbf{x}|=\left|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|, \quad|\mathbf{z}|=\left|\mathbf{z}^{\prime}\right|, \quad \text { and } \quad F(\mathbf{x z})=F\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{z}^{\prime}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad F(\mathbf{x y z})=F\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{y z}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Moreover, we may assume that $|\mathbf{y}|=1$ in assertion (iii).
Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Trivial or straightforward.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Follows from repeated applications of the stated condition. To illustrate, suppose that we have $F\left(x_{1} x_{3}\right)=F\left(x_{1}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ for some $x_{1} x_{3} x_{1}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime} \in X^{4}$. Then for any $x_{2}, x_{4} \in X$, we have $F\left(x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\right)=F\left(x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2} x_{3}^{\prime}\right)$, and then $F\left(x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}\right)=$ $F\left(x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4}\right)$.

Recall that a variadic operation $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ is said to be arity-wise rangeidempotent [9] if $F\left(F(\mathbf{x})^{|\mathbf{x}|}\right)=F(\mathbf{x})$ for every $\mathbf{x} \in X^{*}$. Clearly, any B-associative or strongly B-associative variadic operation is arity-wise range-idempotent. Actually, it can be shown [9] that if an operation $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ is B-associative then it is both B-preassociative and arity-wise range-idempotent. The converse result holds whenever $\operatorname{ran}\left(F_{n}\right) \subseteq X$ for every $n \geqslant 1$ (note that this latter condition was wrongly omitted in [9]). The following proposition shows that this result still holds if we replace B-associativity and B-preassociativity by their strong versions.

Proposition 2.3. If a variadic operation $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ is strongly B-associative, then it is both strongly B-preassociative and arity-wise range-idempotent. The converse result holds whenever $\operatorname{ran}\left(F_{n}\right) \subseteq X$ for every $n \geqslant 1$.
Proof. The first result holds trivially by Proposition 2.1. We now prove the converse result by using Proposition 2.1 again. Let $\mathbf{x y z} \in X^{*}$. If $\mathbf{x z}=\varepsilon$, then (1) holds trivially. If $\mathbf{x z} \neq \varepsilon$, then we have $F(\mathbf{x z})=F\left(F(\mathbf{x z})^{|\mathbf{x}|} F(\mathbf{x z})^{|\mathbf{z}|}\right)$ by arity-wise rangeidempotence and then (1) holds by Proposition 2.2(iii).

Various alternative formulations of B-associativity have been given in [9]. For instance, we can prove that an operation $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ is B-associative if and only if we have $F(\mathbf{x y})=F\left(F(\mathbf{x})^{|\mathbf{x}|} F(\mathbf{y})^{|\mathbf{y}|}\right)$ for every $\mathbf{x y} \in X^{*}$. The following proposition provides similar formulations for strong B-associativity.

Proposition 2.4. Let $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ be a variadic operation. The following assertions are equivalent.
(i) $F$ is strongly $B$-associative.
(ii) For every $\mathbf{x} \in X^{*}$ and every $K \subseteq\{1, \ldots,|\mathbf{x}|\}$, we have $F(\mathbf{x})=F\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \in X^{|\mathbf{x}|}$ is defined by $\left.\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|_{K}=F\left(\left.\mathbf{x}\right|_{K}\right)^{|\mathbf{x}|_{K} \mid}$ and $\left.\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|_{K^{c}}=F\left(\left.\mathbf{x}\right|_{K^{c}}\right)^{|\mathbf{x}|_{K^{c}} \mid}$.
(iii) For every $\mathbf{x y z} \in X^{*}$, we have $F(\mathbf{x y z})=F\left(F(\mathbf{x z})^{|\mathbf{x}|} F(\mathbf{y})^{|\mathbf{y}|} F(\mathbf{x z})^{|\mathbf{z}|}\right)$.

Moreover, we may assume that $|\mathbf{y}| \leqslant 1$ in assertion (iii).
Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Trivial.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). First observe that $F$ is arity-wise range-idempotent (take $\mathbf{y z}=\varepsilon$ ). Let $\mathbf{x y z} \in X^{*}$, with $|\mathbf{y}| \leqslant 1$. If $F(\mathbf{x z})=\varepsilon$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(F(\mathbf{x z})^{|\mathbf{x}|} \mathbf{y} F(\mathbf{x z})^{|\mathbf{z}|}\right) & =F(\mathbf{y})=F\left(F(\mathbf{y})^{|\mathbf{y}|}\right)=F\left(F(\mathbf{x z})^{|\mathbf{x}|} F(\mathbf{y})^{|\mathbf{y}|} F(\mathrm{xz})^{|\mathbf{z}|}\right) \\
& =F(\mathbf{x y z}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Otherwise, if $F(\mathbf{x z}) \in X$, then setting $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}=F(\mathbf{x z})^{|\mathbf{x}|}$ and $\mathbf{z}^{\prime}=F(\mathbf{x z})^{|\mathbf{z}|}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(F(\mathbf{x z})^{|\mathbf{x}|} \mathbf{y} F(\mathbf{x z})^{|\mathbf{z}|}\right) & =F\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{y} \mathbf{z}^{\prime}\right)=F\left(F\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{z}^{\prime}\right)^{\left|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|} F(\mathbf{y})^{|\mathbf{y}|} F\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{z}^{\prime}\right)^{\left|\mathbf{z}^{\prime}\right|}\right) \\
& =F\left(F(\mathbf{x z})^{|\mathbf{x}|} F(\mathbf{y})^{|\mathbf{y}|} F(\mathbf{x z})^{|\mathbf{z}|}\right)=F(\mathbf{x y z})
\end{aligned}
$$

In both cases, we have shown that $F$ is strongly B-associative by Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 2.1 states that an operation $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ is strongly B-associative if and only if it satisfies the following two conditions:
(a) $F(\mathbf{y})=F\left(F(\mathbf{y})^{|\mathbf{y}|}\right)$ for every $\mathbf{y} \in X^{*}$ (arity-wise range-idempotence),
(b) $F(\mathbf{x} y \mathbf{z})=F\left(F(\mathbf{x z})^{|\mathbf{x}|} y F(\mathbf{x z})^{|\mathbf{z}|}\right)$ for every $\mathbf{x} y \mathbf{z} \in X^{*}$.

Interestingly, this equivalence also shows how a strongly B -associative $\varepsilon$-standard operation $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ can be constructed by choosing first $F_{1}$, then $F_{2}$, and so forth. In fact, $F_{1}$ can be chosen arbitrarily provided that it satisfies $F_{1} \circ F_{1}=F_{1}$. Then, if $F_{k}$ is already chosen for some $k \geqslant 1$, then $F_{k+1}$ can be chosen arbitrarily from among the solutions of the following equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{F_{k+1}} \circ F_{k+1}=F_{k+1}, \\
& F_{k+1}(\mathbf{x} y \mathbf{z})=F_{k+1}\left(F_{k}(\mathbf{x z})^{|\mathbf{x}|} y F_{k}(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{z})^{|\mathbf{z}|}\right), \quad \mathbf{x} y \mathbf{z} \in X^{k+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In general, finding all the possible functions $F_{k+1}$ is not an easy task. However, we have the following two propositions, which hold for any strongly B-preassociative function and hence for any strongly B-associative operation. The proof of Proposition 2.5 is straightforward and thus omitted. Proposition 2.6 was established in [9].

Proposition 2.5. Let $F: X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ be a B-preassociative (resp. strongly B-preassociative) function.
(a) If $F_{k}$ is symmetric for some $k \geqslant 2$, then so is $F_{k+1}$.
(b) If $F_{k}$ is constant for some $k \geqslant 1$, then so is $F_{k+1}$.
(c) For any sequence $\left(c_{k}\right)_{k \geqslant 1}$ in $Y$ and every $n \geqslant 1$, the function $G: X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ defined by $G_{k}=F_{k}$, if $k \leqslant n$, and $G_{k}=c_{k}$, if $k>n$, is B-preassociative (resp. strongly $B$-preassociative).
Proposition 2.6 ([9]). Let $F: X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ be a B-preassociative function and let $k \geqslant 2$ be an integer. If the function $\mathbf{y} \in X^{k} \mapsto F_{k+2}(x \mathbf{y} z)$ is symmetric for every $x z \in X^{2}$, then so is the function $\mathbf{y} \in X^{k+1} \mapsto F_{k+3}(x y z)$ for every $x z \in X^{2}$.

Proposition 2.6 motivates the question of finding necessary and sufficient conditions on a (strongly) B-preassociative function $F: X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ for the following condition to hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(a b c d)=F(a c b d), \quad a, b, c, d \in X . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Not all strongly B-preassociative functions satisfy condition (2). To give a very simple example, just consider the identity function $F=\mathrm{id}_{X^{*}}$.

However, one can show that condition (2) holds for all strongly B-associative operations.

Lemma 2.7. Any strongly B-associative operation $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ satisfies condition (2).

Proof. Let $a, b, c, d \in X$ and set $x=F(a b)$ and $y=F(c d)$. Repeated applications of strong B-associativity give

$$
F(a b c d)=F(x x y y)=F\left(F(x y)^{4}\right)=F(x y x y)=F(a c b d),
$$

which shows that condition (2) holds.

From Proposition 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 we immediately derive the following corollary, which states that, for every strongly B-associative operation $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ and every integer $n \geqslant 4$, the $n$-ary part $F_{n}$ is invariant under any permutation of its arguments except the first and the last ones.

Corollary 2.8. If $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ is strongly B-associative, then, for every integer $k \geqslant 1$ and every $x z \in X^{2}$, the function $\mathbf{y} \in X^{k} \mapsto F_{k+2}(x \mathbf{y} z)$ is symmetric.

In [9], the authors show how new B-preassociative functions can be constructed from given B-preassociative functions by compositions with unary maps. These results still hold for strongly B-preassociative functions. The proofs are straightforward.

Proposition 2.9 (Right composition). If $F: X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ is strongly B-preassociative then, for every function $g: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$, any function $H: X^{\prime *} \rightarrow Y$ such that $H_{n}=$ $F_{n} \circ(g, \ldots, g)$ for every $n \geqslant 1$ is strongly B-preassociative.

Proposition 2.10 (Left composition). Let $F: X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ be a strongly B-preassociative function and let $\left(g_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ be a sequence of functions from $Y$ to $Y^{\prime}$. If $\left.g_{n}\right|_{\operatorname{ran}\left(F_{n}\right)}$ is one-to-one for every $n \geqslant 1$, then any function $H: X^{*} \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ such that $H_{n}=g_{n} \circ F_{n}$ for every $n \geqslant 1$ is strongly B-preassociative.

We now give a factorization result for strongly B-preassociative functions. We first restrict ourselves to strongly B-preassociative functions $F: X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ which are arity-wise quasi-range-idempotent [9], i.e., such that $\operatorname{ran}\left(\delta_{F_{n}}\right)=\operatorname{ran}\left(F_{n}\right)$ for every $n \geqslant 1$. The following theorem gives a characterization of the B-preassociative and arity-wise quasi-range-idempotent functions $F: X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ as compositions of the form $F_{n}=f_{n} \circ H_{n}$, where $H: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ is a B-associative $\varepsilon$-standard operation and $f_{n}: \operatorname{ran}\left(H_{n}\right) \rightarrow Y$ is one-to-one.

Recall that a function $g$ is a quasi-inverse [13, Sect. 2.1] of a function $f$ if

$$
\left.f \circ g\right|_{\operatorname{ran}(f)}=\left.\mathrm{id}\right|_{\operatorname{ran}(f)} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{ran}\left(\left.g\right|_{\operatorname{ran}(f)}\right)=\operatorname{ran}(g)
$$

Recall also that the statement "every function has a quasi-inverse" is equivalent to the Axiom of Choice (AC). Throughout this paper we denote the set of all quasi-inverses of $f$ by $Q(f)$.

Theorem 2.11 ([9]). Assume $A C$ and let $F: X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ be a function. The following assertions are equivalent.
(i) $F$ is $B$-preassociative and arity-wise quasi-range-idempotent.
(ii) There exists a B-associative $\varepsilon$-standard operation $H: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ and a sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ of one-to-one functions $f_{n}: \operatorname{ran}\left(H_{n}\right) \rightarrow Y$ such that $F_{n}=$ $f_{n} \circ H_{n}$ for every $n \geqslant 1$.
If condition (ii) holds, then for every $n \geqslant 1$ we have $F_{n}=\delta_{F_{n}} \circ H_{n}, f_{n}=\left.\delta_{F_{n}}\right|_{\operatorname{ran}\left(H_{n}\right)}$, $f_{n}^{-1} \in Q\left(\delta_{F_{n}}\right)$, and we may choose $H_{n}=g_{n} \circ F_{n}$ for any $g_{n} \in Q\left(\delta_{F_{n}}\right)$.

Using Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 , we can show that Theorem 2.11 can be easily adapted to the strong version of B-preassociativity.

Corollary 2.12. Theorem 2.11 still holds if we replace B-preassociativity with strong B-preassociativity in assertion (i) and B-associativity with strong B-associativity in assertion (ii).

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Since $F$ satisfies condition (i) of Theorem 2.11, it also satisfies condition (ii). Since $H$ is B-associative, it is arity-wise range-idempotent. To see that $H$ is strongly B-associative, by Proposition 2.3 it suffices to show that it is strongly B-preassociative. Let $\mathbf{x x}^{\prime} \mathbf{y z z}^{\prime} \in X^{*}$ such that $|\mathbf{x}|=\left|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|,|\mathbf{z}|=\left|\mathbf{z}^{\prime}\right|,|\mathbf{x z}| \geqslant 1$, and $H(\mathbf{x z})=H\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{z}^{\prime}\right)$. Then, we have $f_{|\mathbf{x z}|} \circ H(\mathbf{x z})=f_{|\mathbf{x z}|} \circ H\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{z}^{\prime}\right)$, that is, $F(\mathbf{x z})=$ $F\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{z}^{\prime}\right)$. By strong B-preassociativity of $F$, we then have $F(\mathbf{x y z})=F\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{y z} \mathbf{z}^{\prime}\right)$ and hence

$$
H(\mathbf{x y z})=g_{|\mathbf{x y z}|} \circ F(\mathbf{x y z})=g_{|\mathbf{x y z}|} \circ F\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{y z} \mathbf{z}^{\prime}\right)=H\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{y z} \mathbf{z}^{\prime}\right)
$$

which shows that $H$ is strongly B-preassociative by Proposition 2.2 (the case when $\mathbf{x z}=\varepsilon$ is trivial).
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). $F$ is arity-wise quasi-range-idempotent by Theorem 2.11. It is also strongly B-preassociative by Proposition 2.10.

We now provide a factorization result for the whole class of strongly B-preassociative functions. It is based on the following characterization of B-preassociative functions.

Recall that a string function $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}$ is said to be associative [4] if it satisfies the equation $F(\mathbf{x y z})=F(\mathbf{x} F(\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{z})$ for every $\mathbf{x y z} \in X^{*}$. It is said to be lengthpreserving [10] if $|F(\mathbf{x})|=|\mathbf{x}|$ for every $\mathbf{x} \in X^{*}$.
Theorem 2.13 ([10]). Assume AC and let $F: X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ be a function. The following assertions are equivalent.
(i) $F$ is B-preassociative.
(ii) There exist an associative and length-preserving function $H: X^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}$ and a sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ of one-to-one functions $f_{n}: \operatorname{ran}\left(H_{n}\right) \rightarrow Y$ such that $F_{n}=$ $f_{n} \circ H_{n}$ for every $n \geqslant 1$.
If condition (ii) holds, then for every $n \geqslant 1$ we have $f_{n}=\left.F\right|_{\operatorname{ran}\left(H_{n}\right)}=\left.F_{n}\right|_{\operatorname{ran}\left(H_{n}\right)}$, $f_{n}^{-1} \in Q\left(F_{n}\right)$, and we may choose $H_{n}=g_{n} \circ F_{n}$ for any $g_{n} \in Q\left(F_{n}\right)$.

Proceeding as in the proof of Corollary 2.12, from Theorem 2.13 we easily derive the following characterization of the class of strongly B-preassociative functions.

Corollary 2.14. Theorem 2.13 still holds if we replace B-preassociativity with strong B-preassociativity in assertion (i) and add the condition that $H$ is strongly $B$-preassociative in assertion (ii).

Clearly, Corollary 2.14 motivates the problem of characterizing the class of those string functions which are associative, length-preserving, and strongly Bpreassociative.

We end this section by an investigation of those strong B-associative functions which are invariant by replication. Recall that a variadic function $F: X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ is invariant by replication [8] if for every $\mathbf{x} \in X^{*}$ and every $k \geqslant 1$ we have $F\left(\mathbf{x}^{k}\right)=$ $F(\mathrm{x})$.

Definition 2.15. We say that a variadic function $F: X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ has a multiplicatively growing range if $\operatorname{ran}\left(F_{n}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{ran}\left(F_{k n}\right)$ for any $k, n \geqslant 1$.

The following proposition is a simultaneous generalization of several results reported in [6] and [2, pp. 38-41].

Proposition 2.16. Let $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ be a strongly B-associative operation. The following assertions are equivalent.
(i) F has a multiplicatively growing range.
(ii) $F$ is invariant by replication.
(iii) For any $k, n \geqslant 1$ and any $\mathbf{x}^{(1)} \cdots \mathbf{x}^{(n)} \in X^{*}$, we have

$$
F\left(\left(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}\right)^{k} \cdots\left(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}\right)^{k}\right)=F\left(\mathbf{x}^{(1)} \cdots \mathbf{x}^{(n)}\right)
$$

Moreover, if any of these conditions hold, then
(a) For any $n \geqslant 1$ and any $\mathbf{x}^{(1)} \cdots \mathbf{x}^{(n)} \in X^{*}$ such that $\left|\mathbf{x}^{(1)}\right|=\cdots=\left|\mathbf{x}^{(n)}\right| \geqslant 1$, we have

$$
F\left(F\left(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}\right) \cdots F\left(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}\right)\right)=F\left(\mathbf{x}^{(1)} \cdots \mathbf{x}^{(n)}\right)
$$

(b) For any $n \geqslant 1$ and any $\mathbf{x}=x_{1} \cdots x_{n} \in X^{n}$, we have

$$
F\left(x_{1} \cdots x_{n}\right)=F\left(x_{n}^{\prime} \cdots x_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $x_{k}^{\prime}=F\left(\mathbf{x}_{-k}\right)$ and $\mathbf{x}_{-k}$ is obtained from $\mathbf{x}$ by removing the letter $x_{k}$.
(c) $F$ is strongly bisymmetric, i.e., for every $p-b y-n$ matrix whose entries are in $X$, we have

$$
F\left(F\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right) \cdots F\left(\mathbf{r}_{p}\right)\right)=F\left(F\left(\mathbf{c}_{1}\right) \cdots F\left(\mathbf{c}_{n}\right)\right),
$$

where $\mathbf{r}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_{p}$ denote the rows and $\mathbf{c}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{c}_{n}$ denote the columns of the matrix.

Proof. (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Taking $n=1$, we see that $F$ is invariant by replication.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let $k, n \geqslant 1$ and $\mathbf{x} \in X^{n}$. Then $F(\mathbf{x})=F\left(\mathbf{x}^{k}\right) \in \operatorname{ran}\left(F_{k n}\right)$. Therefore, $F$ has a multiplicatively growing range.
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Let us first show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(F(\mathbf{x})^{k|\mathbf{x}|}\right)=F(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in X^{*}, k \geqslant 1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $m \geqslant 0, k \geqslant 1$, and take $\mathbf{x} \in X^{m}$ and $\mathbf{z} \in X^{k m}$ such that $F(\mathbf{x})=F(\mathbf{z})$. Since $F$ is arity-wise range-idempotent by Proposition 2.3, it follows that $F\left(F(\mathbf{x})^{k m}\right)=$ $F\left(F(\mathbf{z})^{k m}\right)=F(\mathbf{z})=F(\mathbf{x})$, which proves (3).

Then, for any $n \geqslant 1$ and any $\mathbf{x}^{(1)} \cdots \mathbf{x}^{(n)} \in X^{*}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(\left(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}\right)^{k} \cdots\left(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}\right)^{k}\right) & =F\left(F\left(\mathbf{x}^{(1)} \cdots \mathbf{x}^{(n)}\right)^{k\left|\mathbf{x}^{(1)} \ldots \mathbf{x}^{(n)}\right|}\right) \quad \text { (strong B-associativity) } \\
& =F\left(\mathbf{x}^{(1)} \cdots \mathbf{x}^{(n)}\right) \quad \text { (by condition (3)). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us now show that conditions (a), (b), and (c) hold.
(a) Setting $k=\left|\mathbf{x}^{(1)}\right|=\cdots=\left|\mathbf{x}^{(n)}\right| \geqslant 1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(\mathbf{x}^{(1)} \cdots \mathbf{x}^{(n)}\right) & =F\left(F\left(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}\right)^{k} \cdots F\left(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}\right)^{k}\right) \quad \text { (B-associativity) } \\
& =F\left(F\left(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}\right) \cdots F\left(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}\right)\right) \quad \text { (by (iii)). }
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(x_{1} \cdots x_{n}\right) & =F\left(x_{1}^{n-1} \cdots x_{n}^{n-1}\right) \quad \text { (by (iii)) } \\
& =F\left(\left(x_{n}^{\prime} \cdots x_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{n-1}\right) \quad \text { (strong B-associativity) } \\
& =F\left(x_{n}^{\prime} \cdots x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { (by (ii)). }
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(F\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right) \cdots F\left(\mathbf{r}_{p}\right)\right) & =F\left(\mathbf{r}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{r}_{p}\right) \quad(\text { by }(\text { a) }) \\
& =F\left(\left(F\left(\mathbf{c}_{1}\right) \cdots F\left(\mathbf{c}_{n}\right)\right)^{p}\right) \quad \text { (strong B-associativity) } \\
& =F\left(F\left(\mathbf{c}_{1}\right) \cdots F\left(\mathbf{c}_{n}\right)\right) \quad(\text { by }(\text { ii })) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is now complete.

Remark 2. Let $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ be a strongly B-associative operation having a multiplicative growing range and such that $\operatorname{ran}\left(F_{n}\right) \subseteq X$ for every $n \geqslant 1$. Proposition 2.16(a) enables us to translate certain functional conditions involving $F$ and letters in $X$ into similar functional conditions involving $F$ and strings of the same length. To illustrate, starting from the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x y z)=F(F(x z) y F(x z)), \quad x y z \in X^{3}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which holds by Eq. (1), we derive the condition

$$
F(\mathbf{x y z})=F(F(\mathbf{x z}) F(\mathbf{y}) F(\mathrm{xz})), \quad \mathbf{x y z} \in X^{*}, \quad|\mathbf{x}|=|\mathbf{y}|=|\mathbf{z}| \geqslant 1 .
$$

Indeed, it suffices to set $x=F(\mathbf{x}), y=F(\mathbf{y})$, and $z=F(\mathbf{z})$ in (4) and apply Proposition 2.16(a) to the resulting condition.

## 3. Strongly B-Preassociative mean functions

In this final section we recall a variant of Kolmogoroff-Nagumo's characterization of the class of quasi-arithmetic means based on the strong B-associativity property. We also generalize this characterization to strongly B-preassociative functions.

Let II be a nontrivial real interval (i.e., nonempty and not a singleton), possibly unbounded. Recall that a function $F: \mathbb{I}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a quasi-arithmetic premean function [9] if there exist continuous and strictly increasing functions $f: \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f_{n}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(n \geqslant 1)$ such that

$$
F_{n}(\mathbf{x})=f_{n}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right)\right), \quad n \geqslant 1 .
$$

This function is said to be a quasi-arithmetic mean function (see, e.g., [2, Sect. 4.2]) if $f_{n}=f^{-1}$ for every $n \geqslant 1$. In this case we have $\operatorname{ran}\left(F_{n}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{I}$ for every $n \geqslant 1$.

Thus defined, the class of quasi-arithmetic pre-mean functions includes all the quasi-arithmetic mean functions. Actually the quasi-arithmetic mean functions are exactly those quasi-arithmetic pre-mean functions which are idempotent, that is, such that $\delta_{F_{n}}=\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{I}}$ for every $n \geqslant 1$. However, there are also many non-idempotent quasi-arithmetic pre-mean functions. Taking for instance $f_{n}(x)=n x$ and $f(x)=x$ over the reals $\mathbb{I}=\mathbb{R}$, we obtain the sum function. Taking $f_{n}(x)=\exp (n x)$ and $f(x)=\ln (x)$ over $\mathbb{I}=] 0, \infty[$, we obtain the product function.

The following proposition [9] shows that the generators $f_{n}$ and $f$ of any quasiarithmetic pre-mean function are defined up to an affine transformation.

Proposition 3.1 ( $[9]$ ). Let $\mathbb{I}$ be a nontrivial real interval, possibly unbounded. Let $f, g: \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f_{n}, g_{n}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(n \geqslant 1)$ be continuous and strictly monotonic functions. Then the functions $f_{n}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$ and $g_{n}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$ coincide on $\mathbb{I}^{n}$ if and only if there exist $r, s \in \mathbb{R}, r \neq 0$, such that $g_{n}^{-1} \circ f_{n}=g \circ f^{-1}=r \mathrm{id}+s$ for every $n \geqslant 1$.

We now recall the characterization of the class of quasi-arithmetic mean functions as given by Kolmogoroff [3] and Nagumo [11]. The following theorem gives the characterization following Kolmogoroff (we set $F(\varepsilon)$ to an arbitrary value in $\mathbb{I}$, see Remark 1). Nagumo's characterization is the same except that the strict increasing monotonicity of each function $F_{n}$ is replaced with the strict internality of $F_{2}$ (i.e., $x<y$ implies $\left.x<F_{2}(x, y)<y\right)$.

Theorem 3.2 (Kolmogoroff-Nagumo). Let $\mathbb{I}$ be a nontrivial real interval, possibly unbounded. A variadic function $F: \mathbb{I}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ is $B$-associative and, for every $n \geqslant 1$, the $n$-ary part $F_{n}$ is symmetric, continuous, idempotent, and strictly increasing in each argument if and only if $F$ is a quasi-arithmetic mean function.

As recently observed by the authors [9], idempotence can be removed from the assumptions of Theorem 3.2. Indeed, if a B-associative function $F: \mathbb{I}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ is such that $\delta_{F_{n}}$ is one-to-one for some $n \geqslant 1$, then necessarily $\delta_{F_{n}}=\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{I}}$. This observation immediately follows from the identity $\delta_{F_{n}}=\delta_{F_{n}} \circ \delta_{F_{n}}$, which holds whenever $F$ is B-associative.

In the following theorem, we show that Kolmogoroff-Nagumo's characterization still holds if we replace both B-associativity and symmetry with strong Bassociativity. This result was already established in [6]. However, here we provide an alternative proof based on Kolmogoroff's ideas. Here again, idempotence is redundant.

We first consider a lemma which generalizes the result reported in [2, Lemma 4.9].
Lemma 3.3. Let $F: X^{*} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ be a strongly B-associative operation having a multiplicatively growing range. Then, for any $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in X^{*} \backslash\{\varepsilon\}$, there exists a function $\psi:[0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$, namely

$$
\psi(p / q)=F\left(\mathbf{b}^{p} \mathbf{a}^{q-p}\right), \quad p / q \in[0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}
$$

with $\psi(0)=F(\mathbf{a})$ and $\psi(1)=F(\mathbf{b})$, such that for every $n \geqslant 1$ and every $\mathbf{z} \in$ $[0,1]^{n} \cap \mathbb{Q}^{n}$ such that $z_{1} \neq 0$ and $z_{n} \neq 1$, we have

$$
F\left(\psi\left(z_{1}\right) \cdots \psi\left(z_{n}\right)\right)=\psi\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}\right)
$$

Proof. We first observe that $\psi$ is a well-defined function. Indeed, if $p / q=p^{\prime} / q^{\prime}$ are two representations of the same rational, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(\mathbf{b}^{p} \mathbf{a}^{q-p}\right) & =F\left(\mathbf{b}^{p^{\prime} p} \mathbf{a}^{p^{\prime}(q-p)}\right) \quad \text { (by Proposition 2.16(iii)) } \\
& =F\left(\mathbf{b}^{p p^{\prime}} \mathbf{a}^{p\left(q^{\prime}-p^{\prime}\right)}\right) \\
& =F\left(\mathbf{b}^{p^{\prime}} \mathbf{a}^{q^{\prime}-p^{\prime}}\right) \quad \text { (by Proposition 2.16(iii)). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, for any $z_{1}=p_{1} / q, \ldots, z_{n}=p_{n} / q$, with $p_{i} \leqslant q, p_{1} \neq 0$ and $p_{n} \neq q$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(\psi\left(z_{1}\right) \cdots \psi\left(z_{n}\right)\right) & =F\left(F\left(\mathbf{b}^{p_{1}} \mathbf{a}^{q-p_{1}}\right) \cdots F\left(\mathbf{b}^{p_{n}} \mathbf{a}^{q-p_{n}}\right)\right) \\
& =F\left(\mathbf{b}^{p_{1}} \mathbf{a}^{q-p_{1}} \cdots \mathbf{b}^{p_{n}} \mathbf{a}^{q-p_{n}}\right) \quad(\text { by Proposition 2.16(a)) } \\
& =F\left(\mathbf{b}^{\sum p_{i}} \mathbf{a}^{n q-\sum p_{i}}\right) \quad(\text { by Corollary 2.8) } \\
& =\psi\left(\frac{1}{n q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right)=\psi\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.
Theorem 3.4. Theorem 3.2 still holds if we replace B-associativity and symmetry with strong B-associativity. Also, idempotence can be removed.
Proof. (Necessity) Let $F: \mathbb{I}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ be a strongly B-associative function such that, for every $n \geqslant 1$, the function $F_{n}$ is continuous, idempotent, and strictly increasing in each argument.

We first assume that $\mathbb{I}$ is a closed interval $[a, b]$, with $b>a$. Since $F_{n}$ is idempotent for every $n \geqslant 1, F$ has a multiplicative growing range. By Lemma 3.3 the
function $\psi:[0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow[a, b]$ defined by $\psi(p / q)=F\left(b^{p} a^{q-p}\right)$ is well defined and such that for every $n \geqslant 1$ and every $\mathbf{z} \in[0,1]^{n} \cap \mathbb{Q}^{n}$ such that $z_{1} \neq 0$ and $z_{n} \neq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\psi\left(z_{1}\right) \cdots \psi\left(z_{n}\right)\right)=\psi\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that the function $\psi$ is strictly increasing.
Let us now show that the restriction of $\psi$ to $] 0,1[\cap \mathbb{Q}$ can be extended to a continuous function $\bar{\psi}:] 0,1[\rightarrow[a, b]$.

Let $x \in] 0,1[$. Since $\psi$ is nondecreasing we can define

$$
u=\lim _{z \rightarrow x^{-}} \psi(z) \quad \text { and } \quad v=\lim _{z \rightarrow x^{+}} \psi(z)
$$

Let us show that $u=v$. For contradiction, assume that $u<v$ and consider two sequences $\left(z_{m}\right)_{m \geqslant 1}$ and $\left(z_{m}^{\prime}\right)_{m \geqslant 1}$ in $[0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ such that $z_{m} \rightarrow x^{-}, z_{m}^{\prime} \rightarrow x^{+}$, and $\left(z_{m}+z_{m}^{\prime}\right) / 2<x$. Using (5) and the continuity of $F$, we then have

$$
u=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \psi\left(\frac{z_{m}+z_{m}^{\prime}}{2}\right)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} F\left(\psi\left(z_{m}\right), \psi\left(z_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right)=F(u, v)<F(u, u)=u
$$

a contradiction.
Let $\bar{\psi}:] 0,1[\rightarrow] \alpha, \beta[$ be the continuous extension of $\psi$, where $] \alpha, \beta[=\operatorname{ran}(\bar{\psi})$. Let us show that $\alpha=a$. Due to the uniqueness of the limit, we have $\lim _{z \rightarrow 0^{+}} \psi(z)=$ $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \bar{\psi}(t)=\alpha$. Then, using (5) and the continuity of $F$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(b, \alpha) & =\lim _{z \rightarrow 0^{+}} F(\psi(1), \psi(z))=\lim _{z \rightarrow 0^{+}} \psi\left(\frac{1+z}{2}\right)=\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=F(\psi(1), \psi(0)) \\
& =F(b, a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $F_{2}$ is one-to-one in its second argument, we must have $\alpha=a$. We prove similarly that $\beta=b$. Thus, $\bar{\psi}$ can be further extended to a continuous and strictly increasing function from $[0,1]$ onto $[a, b]$. Denoting by $f:[a, b] \rightarrow[0,1]$ the inverse of this continuous extension, from (5) and continuity we derive the identity

$$
F_{n}(\mathbf{x})=f^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right)\right), \quad \mathbf{x} \in[a, b]^{n}, n \geqslant 1
$$

which proves the result when $\mathbb{I}=[a, b]$.
Let us now prove the result for a general nontrivial interval $\mathbb{I}$. Here we use arguments sketched in [2, Theorem 4.10]. Let $M_{f}: \mathbb{I}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ denote the quasi-arithmetic mean function generated by $f: \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $a=\inf \mathbb{I}$ and $b=\sup \mathbb{I}$. Let also $\left(a_{m}\right)_{m \geqslant 1}$ (resp. $\left.\left(b_{m}\right)_{m \geqslant 1}\right)$ be a strictly decreasing (resp. strictly increasing) sequence in $\mathbb{I}$ converging to $a$ (resp. $b$ ). From the previous result it follows that there exist continuous and strictly increasing functions $f_{m}:\left[a_{m}, b_{m}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f_{m+1}:\left[a_{m+1}, b_{m+1}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $F=M_{f_{m}}$ on $\left[a_{m}, b_{m}\right]^{*}$ and $F=M_{f_{m+1}}$ on $\left[a_{m+1}, b_{m+1}\right]^{*}$. By Proposition 3.1, we have $M_{f_{m+1}}=M_{r f_{m+1}+s}$ for all $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, with $r \neq 0$. It follows that $f_{m+1}$ can be chosen so that $f_{m+1}\left(a_{m}\right)=f_{m}\left(a_{m}\right)$ and $f_{m+1}\left(b_{m}\right)=f_{m}\left(b_{m}\right)$. Since $M_{f_{m+1}}=F=M_{f_{m}}$ on $\left[a_{m}, b_{m}\right]^{*}$ from Proposition 3.1 it follows that there exist $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, with $c \neq 0$ such that $f_{m}=c f_{m+1}+d$. Due to the definition of $f_{m+1}$, we must have $c=1$ and $d=0$, that is, $f_{m+1}=f_{m}$ on $\left[a_{m}, b_{m}\right]$.

Define $f: \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} f_{m}(x), & \text { if } x \in] a, b[, \\ \inf _{m \geqslant 1} f_{m}\left(a_{m}\right), & \text { if } x=a \in \mathbb{I}, \\ \sup _{m \geqslant 1} f_{m}\left(b_{m}\right), & \text { if } x=b \in \mathbb{I} .\end{cases}
$$

It is clear that $f$ is continuous and strictly increasing. Moreover, we have $F=M_{f}$ on $\bigcup_{m}\left[a_{m}, b_{m}\right]^{*}$ and even on $\mathbb{I}^{*}$ by continuity.
(Sufficiency) Straightforward.
In [9] the authors established a generalization of Kolmogoroff-Nagumo's characterization to quasi-arithmetic pre-mean functions. In the next two theorems we state this result and show that its assumptions can be weakened by replacing both B-preassociativity and symmetry with strong B-preassociativity.
Theorem 3.5 ( [9]). Let $\mathbb{I}$ be a nontrivial real interval, possibly unbounded. A function $F: \mathbb{I}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is B-preassociative and, for every $n \geqslant 1$, the function $F_{n}$ is symmetric, continuous, and strictly increasing in each argument if and only if $F$ is a quasi-arithmetic pre-mean function.

Theorem 3.6. Theorem 3.5 still holds if we replace B-preassociativity and symmetry with strong B-preassociativity.

Proof. We note that the proof is very similar to that of Theorem 3.5 (see [9]).
(Necessity) Since $F_{n}$ is increasing and continuous for every $n \geqslant 1$, it follows that $F$ is arity-wise quasi-range-idempotent. Let $H: \mathbb{I}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ be the $\varepsilon$-standard operation defined by $H_{n}=\delta_{F_{n}}^{-1} \circ F_{n}$ for every $n \geqslant 1$. It is clear that every $H_{n}$ is continuous, idempotent, and strictly increasing. By Corollary 2.12 (here AC is not needed since $\delta_{F_{n}}^{-1}$ is an inverse), $H$ is strongly B-associative (and remains so if we modify the value of $H(\varepsilon)$ into any element of $\mathbb{I}$; see Remark 1 ). By Theorem 3.4 it follows that $H$ is a quasi-arithmetic mean function. This completes the proof.
(Sufficiency) Straightforward.

## 4. Concluding remarks and open problems

We have investigated the strong B-associativity property for variadic operations and introduced a relaxation of this property, namely strong B-preassociativity. In particular, we have presented a characterization of the class of strongly Bpreassociative functions in terms of associative string functions.

We end this paper with the following questions:
(a) Find necessary and sufficient conditions on a (strongly) B-preassociative function $F: X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ for condition (2) to hold.
(b) Find necessary and sufficient conditions on a B-associative operation $F: X^{*} \rightarrow$ $X \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ satisfying $F(x y z)=F(F(x z) y F(x z))$ for every $x y z \in X^{3}$ to be strongly B-associative. What if $F$ satisfies the symmetry condition stated in Corollary 2.8?
(c) Similarly, find necessary and sufficient conditions on a B-preassociative function $F: X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the condition

$$
F(x z)=F\left(x^{\prime} z^{\prime}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad F(x y z)=F\left(x^{\prime} y z^{\prime}\right), \quad x x^{\prime} y z z^{\prime} \in X^{5}
$$

to be strongly B-preassociative.
(d) Find a characterization of the class of those string functions which are associative, length-preserving, and strongly B-preassociative (cf. Corollary 2.14).
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