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#### Abstract

These are notes on the uniformization of complex elliptic curves via Weierstrass functions. Everything is taken out from references [1], 2] and [3, our contribution is just to give a self-contained exposition and more details for certain parts of the original proofs.
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## 1 Lattices, tori and meromorphic functions

### 1.1 Change of lattices, complex tori

Let $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ be two complex numbers in $\mathbb{C}$ that are free over $\mathbb{R}\left(\lambda_{1} \omega_{1}+\lambda_{2} \omega_{2}=0, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow\right.$ $\left.\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=0\right)$.

They define a 2-dimensional lattice

$$
\Gamma:=\left\{m \omega_{1}+n \omega_{2} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

which is a discrete abelian group in $\mathbb{C}$. For example, when $\omega_{1}=3+i$ and $\omega_{2}=1+2 i$ we get


We can define a torus $\mathbb{T}$ by setting

Definition 1.1.1. The complex torus associated to the lattice $\Gamma$ is the quotient space

$$
\mathbb{T}:=\mathbb{C} / \Gamma
$$

An element of $\mathbb{T}$ is thus an equivalence class of elements of $\mathbb{C}$ for the equivalence relation

$$
z \sim z^{\prime} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists n, m \in \mathbb{Z} / z=z^{\prime}+n \omega_{1}+m \omega_{2}
$$

The complex structure of $\mathbb{C}$ induces a complex structure on $\mathbb{T}$ such that the projection $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is holomorphic. This complex structures depends on the lattice $\Gamma$. However, if we define $\Gamma^{\prime}$ to be the lattice

$$
\Gamma^{\prime}:=\{m+n \tau \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}
$$

where $\tau:=\omega_{2} / \omega_{1}$, and $\mathbb{T}^{\prime}$ to be the torus $\mathbb{C} / \Gamma^{\prime}$, then the bijective "multiplication by $\omega_{1}$ " map

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\mathbb{C} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\
z & \mapsto & \omega_{1} z
\end{array}
$$

sends $\Gamma^{\prime}$ bijectively to $\Gamma$.
Proposition 1.1.2. Multiplication by $\omega_{1}$ induces a biholomorphic isomorphism

$$
\mathbb{T}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{T}
$$

Thus, we can reduce ourselves to the study of tori given by lattices with $\omega_{1}=1$ and $\omega_{2}=\tau$. We can even suppose that $\operatorname{Im} \tau>0$ since the lattice generated by 1 and $\tau$ is the same as the lattice generated by 1 and $-\tau$.

### 1.2 Meromorphic functions

We now fix a lattice $\Gamma:=\{m+n \tau \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and denote by $\mathbb{T}:=\mathbb{C} / \Gamma$ the associated torus with projection $\pi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$.

By definition of the complex structure on $\mathbb{T}$, a function $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is meromorphic if and only if the composite $f \circ \pi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is. Thus, we are interested in meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$ that are invariant under addition of elements of $\Gamma$, i.e. $\Gamma$-periodic.
Remark 1.2.1. Constant functions are holomorphic thus meromorphic. Note that Liouville's theorem implies that bounded holomorphic functions are constant. Since $\mathbb{T}$ is compact, any holomorphic function is bounded, thus constant.

Among meromorphic periodic functions, some are of particular interest for us:
Definition 1.2.2. The Weierstrass function $\wp: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$
\wp(z):=\frac{1}{z^{2}}+\sum_{\substack{\omega \in \Gamma \\ \omega \neq 0}} \frac{1}{(z-\omega)^{2}}-\frac{1}{\omega^{2}}
$$

for all $z$ in $\mathbb{C}$.
Proposition 1.2.3. The Weierstrass function is

- well-defined and holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}-\Gamma$,
- meromorphic in each $z$ of $\Gamma$ with a pole of order 2 ,
- even and $\Gamma$-periodic.

It's derived function is odd, $\Gamma$-periodic, meromorphic with poles of order 3 on the lattice and is given by

$$
\wp^{\prime}(z)=\sum_{\substack{\omega \in \Gamma \\ \omega \neq 0}} \frac{-2}{(z-\omega)^{3}}
$$

Proof. Well defined amounts to prove that the sum involved in the definition of $\wp$ is convergent. For any $\omega$ in $\Gamma-\{0\}$

$$
\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^{2}}-\frac{1}{\omega^{2}}=\frac{\omega^{2}-z^{2}+2 z \omega-\omega^{2}}{\omega^{2}(z-\omega)^{2}}=\frac{1}{\omega^{3}} \frac{2 z-z^{2} / \omega}{z / \omega-1}
$$

Since

$$
\lim _{\omega \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{2 z-z^{2} / \omega}{z / \omega-1}\right|=2|z|
$$

there exists a positive constant $C(z)$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{2 z-z^{2} / \omega}{z / \omega-1}\right|<C(z)
$$

for all $\omega$ in $\Gamma-\{0\}$. Thus

$$
\left|\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^{2}}-\frac{1}{\omega^{2}}\right|<\frac{C(z)}{|\omega|^{3}}
$$

The fact that $\sum_{\substack{\omega \in \Gamma \\ \omega \neq 0}} \frac{C(z)}{|\omega|^{3}}$ is convergent implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\omega \in \Gamma \\ \omega \neq 0}} \frac{1}{(z-\omega)^{2}}-\frac{1}{\omega^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is absolutely convergent, thus convergent. This proves that $\wp$ is well-defined.
To see that $\wp$ is holomorphic in $z \in \mathbb{C}-\Gamma$, remark that there exists a neighbourhood $V$ of $z$ and a positive number such that the constants $C\left(z^{\prime}\right)$ are bounded by $C$ when $z^{\prime}$ is in $V$ i.e.

$$
C\left(z^{\prime}\right)<C \quad \forall z^{\prime} \in V
$$

Thus the sum 11 is normaly convergent on $V$. Since each term $\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^{2}}-\frac{1}{\omega^{2}}$ is holomorphic in $z$, the limit is also holomorphic in $z$. Morevover, $\wp^{\prime}(z)$ can be obtained by deriving term by term so that

$$
\wp^{\prime}(z)=-\sum_{\omega \in \Gamma} \frac{2}{(z-\omega)^{3}}
$$

$t$ is clear that $\wp^{\prime}$ is meromorphic with poles of order 3 on the lattice and that it is $\Gamma$-periodic.
It is clear that each element of the lattice is a pole of order 2 of $\wp$. To see that $\wp$ is even notice that one can reparametrize the sum (1) using $-\omega$ instead of $\omega$.

The periodicity goes as follows: choose a point $\gamma$ in $\Gamma$ and set

$$
\mathcal{Q}(z):=\wp(z+\gamma)-\wp(z)
$$

for all $z$ in $\mathcal{C}-\Gamma$. Then

$$
\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}(z):=\wp^{\prime}(z+\gamma)-\wp^{\prime}(z)=0
$$

because $\wp^{\prime}$ is $\Gamma$-periodic. Thus, there exists a constant $C$ (depending on $\gamma$ a priori) such that

$$
\mathcal{Q}(z):=\wp(z+\gamma)-\wp(z)=C
$$

But for $z=-\gamma / 2$ we have that

$$
\mathcal{Q}(-\gamma / 2):=\wp(\gamma / 2)-\wp(-\gamma / 2)=0
$$

because $\wp$ is even. Thus, $C=0$ and $\wp(z+\gamma)=\wp(z)$ for all $z$ in $\mathbb{C}-\Gamma$. This proves that $\wp$ is $\Gamma$-periodic.

The Weirestrass function associated to the lattice $\Gamma$ satisfies a nice differential equation:
Proposition 1.2.4. The Weierstrass function $\wp$ and its derivative $\wp^{\prime}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\wp^{\prime}\right)^{2}=4 \wp^{3}+g_{2} \wp+g_{3} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{2}$ and $g_{3}$ are the Eisenstein series (of the lattice $\Gamma$ ) defined by

$$
g_{2}=g_{2}(\tau):=\sum_{\substack{\omega \in \Gamma \\ \omega \neq 0}} \frac{-60}{\omega^{4}}=\sum_{\substack{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\(m, n) \neq(0,0)}} \frac{-60}{(m+n \tau)^{4}} \quad ; \quad g_{3}=g_{3}(\tau):=\sum_{\substack{\omega \in \Gamma \\ \omega \neq 0}} \frac{-140}{\omega^{6}}=\sum_{\substack{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\(m, n) \neq(0,0)}} \frac{-140}{(m+n \tau)^{6}}
$$

Theorem 1.2.5. The field of meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{T}$, denoted $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$ is generated by $\wp$ and $\wp^{\prime}$ i.e.

$$
\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}) \cong \mathbb{C}\left(\wp, \wp^{\prime}\right)
$$

More precisely, relation (2) is the "smallest relation" satisfied by $\wp$ and $\wp$ ' i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi: \mathbb{C}(X)[Y] /\left(Y^{2}-4 X^{3}-g_{2} X-g_{3}\right) & \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}) \\
X & \longmapsto \wp \\
Y & \longmapsto \wp^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

is an isomorphism of fields.

Proof. We only prove the surjectivity of $\phi$. Let $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a meromorphic function on the torus. If $z_{0}$ is a pole of order $k$ of $f \circ \pi$ which is not on the lattice $\Gamma$, then setting $f \circ \pi\left(\wp-\wp\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{k}$ gives a holomorphic function in $z_{0}$. Indeed, since $z_{0}$ doesn't belong to $\Gamma, \wp$ is homolorphic in $z_{0}$ and admits a Taylor expansion near $z_{0}$ of the form

$$
\wp(z)=\wp\left(z_{0}\right)+\wp^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(z-z_{0}\right)+o\left(\left|z-z_{0}\right|\right)
$$

This implies that $z \mapsto f(\pi(z))\left(\wp(z)-\wp\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{k}$ admits a finite limit in $z_{0}$ ans thus is holomorphic in $z_{0}$. Proceeding in the same fashion for all poles that are not on the lattice we get a $\Gamma$-periodic function $z \mapsto$ $f(\pi(z)) Q(\wp(z))$, where $Q(\wp)$ is a polynomial in $\wp$, which has only possible poles on the lattice (this means that the induced function on the $\mathbb{T}$ can just have a pole in $0:=\pi(\Gamma))$. If we suppose that $z \mapsto f(\pi(z)) Q(\wp(z))$ has a pole of even order $2 k$ in 0 , then there exists a constant $c$ such that

$$
z \mapsto f(\pi(z)) Q(\wp(z))-c \wp(z)^{k}
$$

has a pole of order at most $2 k-1$ in 0 . Similarly, if the pole as odd order $2 k-1$ we can substract a good multiple of $\wp^{\prime}$ to lower the order of this pole. We see that repeating this procedure leads to a function that is holomorphic in zero. In other words, there exists a polynomial in two variables $R(X, Y)$ such that the function on the torus $f Q(\wp)-R\left(\wp, \wp^{\prime}\right)$ is holomorphic. Since $\mathbb{T}$ is compact, this function is bounded thus constant (by Liouville's theorem). Hence, there exists a constant $C$ in $\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
f Q(\wp)-R\left(\wp, \wp^{\prime}\right)=C
$$

i.e.

$$
f=\frac{C+R\left(\wp, \wp^{\prime}\right)}{Q(\wp)}
$$

This proves that any meromorphic function $f$ is a rational function in $\wp$ and $\wp^{\prime}$ which implies that $\phi$ is surjective.

## 2 The link with elliptic curves

### 2.1 The projective plane, complex elliptic curves

Definition 2.1.1. The complex projective plane, denoted $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ is the set of complex lines in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$. Any non-zero vector $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ spans a complex line i.e. an element of $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ that we denote by $\left[z_{1}: z_{2}: z_{3}\right]$ (homogenous coordinates). $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ is an affine complex variety with open affine cover given by $U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{3}$ where

$$
U_{i}:=\left\{L=\left[z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right] \in \mathbb{C} P^{2} \mid z_{i} \neq 0\right\} .
$$

A projective curve is a closed subset of $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ which is the zero-locus of a homogenous polynomial $P$ in $\mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z]$. It is said to be non-singular when the partial derivatives of $P$ never vanish simultaneously on its zero locus.

An elliptic curve is a non-singular projective curve which is the zero locus of a polynomial $P$ such that there exists an affine chart in which $P$ takes the form

$$
Y^{2}-4 X^{3}-a X-b
$$

Remark 2.1.2. To any polynomial $P:=\sum_{i, j} a_{i, i} X^{i} Y^{j}$ of degree $n$ in $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$, we can associate a homogenous polynomial $\tilde{P}$ of degree $n$ in $\mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z]$ by setting

$$
\tilde{P}:=\sum_{i, j} a_{i, i} X^{i} Y^{j} Z^{n-i-j}
$$

The projective curve corresponding to the zero locus of $\tilde{P}$ will be referred to as the projective curve associated to $P$ in the sequel.

Proposition 2.1.3. The projective curve associated to a polynomial

$$
P:=Y^{2}-X^{3}-a X-b
$$

is non singular (i.e. elliptic) if and only if the discriminant

$$
\Delta(a, b):=4 a^{3}+27 b^{2}
$$

is not zero.

Proof. We have that $\frac{\partial P}{\partial Y}(x, y)=0$ if and only if $y=0$. Thus, the only points $(x, y)$ of the zero locus of $P$ (on the curve) where $\frac{\partial P}{\partial Y}$ vanishes are of the form $(x, 0)$. But such a point is on the curve if and only if

$$
P(x, 0)=-x^{3}-a x-b=0
$$

If $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ are the roots of $X^{3}+a X+b$, this condition is equivalent to the fact that $x=x_{i}$ for some $i \in\{1,2,3\}$. But
$\frac{\partial P}{\partial X}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial X}\left(\left(X-x_{1}\right)\left(X-x_{2}\right)\left(X-x_{3}\right)\right)=-\left(\left(X-x_{2}\right)\left(X-x_{3}\right)+\left(X-x_{1}\right)\left(X-x_{3}\right)+\left(X-x_{1}\right)\left(X-x_{2}\right)\right)$
thus we see that $\frac{\partial P}{\partial X}\left(x_{i}, 0\right)=0$ if and only if there exists $j \neq i$ such that $x_{i}=x_{j}$ i.e. if and only if $P$ has a root of multiplicity strictly greater than one (meaning that card $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\} \leqslant 2$ ).

Now recall that the coefficients $a$ and $b$ of the polynomial $X^{3}+a X+b$ can be expressed in terms of its roots $x_{i}$ in the following manner

$$
a=x_{1} x_{2}+x_{1} x_{3}+x_{2} x_{3} \quad \text { and } \quad b=-x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}
$$

so that

$$
\Delta(a, b):=4 a^{3}+27 b^{2}=4\left(x_{1} x_{2}+x_{1} x_{3}+x_{2} x_{3}\right)^{3}+27\left(x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\right)^{2}
$$

Since there is no term of degree 2 in $x^{3}+a X+b$ the sum of the roots is zero thus $x_{3}=-x_{2}-x_{1}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(a, b) & =-4\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2} x_{1}+x_{2}^{2}\right)^{3}+27\left(x_{1}^{2} x_{2}+x_{1} x_{2}^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =-4\left(x_{1}^{6}+x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}+x_{2}^{6}+3\left(x_{1}^{5} x_{2}+2 x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{2}+2 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{4}+x_{1} x_{2}^{5}\right)+6 x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}\right)+27\left(x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{2}+2 x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}+x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{4}\right) \\
& =-4 x_{1}^{6}-12 x_{1}^{5} x_{2}+3 x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{2}+26 x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}+3 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{4}-12 x_{1} x_{2}^{5}-4 x_{2}^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)^{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)^{2} & =\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}\left(2 x_{1}+x_{2}\right)^{2}\left(x_{1}+2 x_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(2 x_{1}^{3}+3 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}-3 x_{1} x_{2}^{2}-2 x_{2}^{3}\right)^{2} \\
& =4 x_{1}^{6}+12 x_{1}^{5} x_{2}-3 x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{2}-26 x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}-3 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{4}+12 x_{1} x_{2}^{5}+4 x_{2}^{6} \\
& =-\Delta(a, b)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ P ( x , y ) = 0 } \\
{ \frac { \partial P } { \partial Y } ( x , y ) = 0 } \\
{ \frac { \partial P } { \partial X } ( x , y ) = 0 }
\end{array} \Leftrightarrow \left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ y = 0 } \\
{ x \in \{ x _ { 1 } , x _ { 2 } , x _ { 3 } \} } \\
{ \operatorname { c a r d } \{ x _ { 1 } , x _ { 2 } , x _ { 3 } \} \leqslant 2 }
\end{array} \Leftrightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{l}
y=0 \\
x \in\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\} \\
\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)^{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)^{2}=-\Delta(a, b)=0
\end{array}\right.\right.\right.
$$

Thus the curve is singular if and only if $\Delta(a, b)=0$.

### 2.2 The uniformization isomorphism

In the first section, we have seen that given $\tau$ in the upper half plane, the torus $\mathbb{T}:=\mathbb{C} / \Gamma$ (where $\Gamma$ is the lattice generated by 1 and $\tau$ ) is endowed with a particular meromorphic function: the Weierstrass function $\wp: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which satisfies the differential equation:

$$
\left(\wp^{\prime}\right)^{2}=4 \wp^{3}+g_{2} \wp+g_{3}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\left(\frac{\wp^{\prime}}{2}\right)^{2}=\wp^{3}+\frac{g_{2}}{4} \wp+\frac{g_{3}}{4}
$$

This means that for any $z$ in $\mathbb{C}-\Gamma$, the element $\left[\wp(z): \frac{\wp^{\prime}(z)}{2}: 1\right]$ of $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ belongs to the projective curve associated to the polynomial $Y^{2}-X^{3}-\frac{g_{2}}{4} X-\frac{g_{3}}{4}$. Recall that $g_{2}$ and $g_{3}$ depend on the choice of $\tau$.
Proposition 2.2.1. For any $\tau$ with strictly positive imaginary part,

$$
\Delta\left(\frac{g_{2}}{4}, \frac{g_{3}}{4}\right) \neq 0
$$

Thus, the projective curve associated to $Y^{2}-X^{3}-\frac{g_{2}}{4} X-\frac{g_{3}}{4}$ is elliptic.
Let $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$ be the elliptic curve associated to $Y^{2}-X^{3}-\frac{g_{2}}{4} X-\frac{g_{3}}{4}$.

Theorem 2.2.2. [Uniformization isomorphism] The map

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\mathbb{T} & \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\tau) \subset \mathbb{C} P^{2} & \\
{[z]} & \mapsto \begin{cases}{\left[\wp(z): \wp^{\prime}(z) / 2: 1\right]} & \text { if } z \notin \Gamma, \\
{[1: 1: 0]} & \text { if } z \in \Gamma .\end{cases}
\end{array}
$$

is a biholomorphism.
The reciproque of the preceeding theorem is true:
Theorem 2.2.3. Any complex elliptic curve is "isomorphic" to an elliptic curve of the form $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$ for a certain $\tau$, thus analytically isomorphic to a complex torus.

Thus, we see that, thanks to the uniformization isomorphism theorem and its reciproque, any complex elliptic curve $\mathcal{C}$ can be identified with the complex torus $\mathbb{T}$ associated to a certain lattice $\Gamma$ (associated to a certan choice of $\tau$ ). But since $\mathbb{T}$ is a quotient of abelian groups, it is itself an abelian group (with neutral element [0]). This implies that any elliptic $\mathcal{C}$ can be endowed with an abelian group multiplication. Is there a way to describe this multiplication geometrically? This might be the subject of next lectures...
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